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CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Is everybody ready, including our applicant?

MR. FLOYD: We are ready to proceed. CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: So, I call to order this meeting in continuance of the September 14 th meeting.

Tonight is September 16th, I'll call tonight's meeting to order and I'll make our statement, adequate notice of this meeting has been given in accordance with the Open Public Meeting Act pursuant to Public Law 1975, Chapter 231.

Said notice was advertised in the Trenton Times and Windsor Heights Herald as required by law, and it's posted also in the borough clerk's office.

Sandy, if we can have a role call, please?

MS. BELAN: Mr. Montferrat?
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Present.
MS. BELAN: Mayor Quattrone?
MAYOR QUATTRONE: Here.
MS. BELAN: Councilman Misiura?
COUNCILMAN MISIURA: Present.
MS. BELAN: Ms. Asselstine?

VICE CHAIRWOMAN ASSELSTINE: Here.
MS. BELAN: Mr. Searing?
MR. SEARING: Here.
MS. BELAN: Mr. Laudenberger?
MR. LAUDENBERGER: Here.
MS. BELAN: Ms. Watkins?
MS. WATKINS: Here.
MS. BELAN: Mr. Balcewicz?
MR. BALCEWICZ: Here.
MS. BELAN: Mr. Cabot?
MR. CABOT: Here.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you,
Sandy. Next on the agenda we'll do the flag salute, if $I$ ask ask the mayor of Hightstown to lead us in the flag salute.
(FLAG SALUTE)
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Okay, as I
stated, tonight is a continuation of our meeting from Monday and Tuesday night and we'll pick up, $I$ know Carmela Roberts, our borough engineer wanted to still talk a little bit about the traffic report and her comments and then we'll move forward with Brian Slaugh, and then we will talk to any of our commissioners from the fire
department or environmental, parks and rec, any of our commissions on that, and then we'll go to $Q$ and $A$ from our planning board members, and then we will have our public comment session, of course.

So, Carmela, $I$ will defer to you to continue your testimony.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay, thank you, chairman. Everyone can hear me?

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Yes.
MS. ROBERTS: Okay, last night Heather Balgowan was with us, she is a traffic and engineering expert. She is unavailable tonight, but during the day she prepared a memorandum of a synopsis and an overview of what we discussed and what we would like to see the applicant provide, and if you don't mind, $I$ would like to read this and I could submit this to Sandy after.

So, the following is a summary of the significant traffic matters from the meeting. Testimony was provided by the applicant's engineer, and noted in the traffic impact study that the overall
impacts of the proposed development study area intersections are very minor.

However, it's important to note individual movements and approaches are adversely impacted at certain crucial intersections at the borough, including Main Street and Franklin Street at the westbound Franklin approach, Main Street and Bank Street, and County Street, and Stockton-street.

These impacts include level of service degradations, major increases in delay, and vehicle cue lengths, specifically at Main Street and Franklin Street which are not comparable to no build conditions.

The increases in delay at the Franklin and Main intersection along the westbound approach are between 18 and 38 seconds with cue lengths increasing by 4 to 8 vehicles. This intersection is part of a coordinated system. The signal timing modifications proposed by the applicant only transfer the traffic issues from Main Street to Franklin Street. This intersection is part of a coordinated
system, and therefore the entire system should be evaluated and retimed.

The need for transportation improvements should not be determined on capacity alone. Safety of all traffic, motorists, pedestrians, and bicycles should be considered. The applicant should offer solutions to mitigate and improve the safety and capacity at the crucial intersections.

Potential improvements include always stop control, restricting movements during peak commuter hours, 7 to 9 a.m., and 4 to 6 p.m., overhead flashing beacon to help enhance the visibility of the intersection, raised or textured crosswalks to reduce vehicle speeds and improve motorists yielding, mid block crossing along Bank Street at the entrance to Rocky Brook Park, other traffic calming devices, other pedestrian enhancements, and retying the entire coordinated system along Main Street to improve vehicle progression and allow the through movements to pass without stopping.

So, in conclusion, it is recommended the applicant perform a post-development study in addition to what was previously requested, or traffic and pedestrian counts at the driveways and nearby intersections to confirm the results of the traffic impact study.

If additional improvements are necessary as a result of the post-development monitoring, the applicant should be responsible for the expense of designing and constructing the necessary improvements. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you,
Carmela. Michael, any response?
MR. FLOYD: Yes, first I do take exception with the entire memorandum and synopsis that was just read into the record, it's hearsay, and $I$ understand that Heather cannot be here, but $I$ think it's patently unfair for the applicant to have a synopsis read into the record by Heather when we can't question her conclusions, number 1 , especially when at the conclusion of yesterday's hearing, we made it clear
that our traffic expert, Maurice Rached, was not able to attend tonight's hearing.

That being said, it appears that the request that was just read into the record is, for the most part, consistent with comment number 18 in Carmela's review letter regarding the post-development study of traffic counts and parking counts.

The applicant takes no exception to conducting that traffic study and the parking counts, and should that post-development study, post-occupancy study, show that the traffic impact study counts were accurate or above actual counts, then no further action is required. If there are offsite improvements that are required, the applicant, of course, would be obligated to provide it's pro rata share of it's costs pursuant to the Municipal Land Use Law. But the applicant, as a matter of law, cannot be solely responsible for 100 percent of those costs, that's very clear in the Municipal Land Use Law.

So, again, with comment number 18, the
applicant agrees to do a post-occupancy traffic study to reconfirm that the counts provided by Maurice were accurate, and if the counts are above what were provided in the traffic study that would be in connection with this application, the applicant would agree to implement the necessary offsite improvements and provide a pro rata share pursuant to the Municipal Land Use Law.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: And Michael, your traffic expert will be working with our traffic experts on this?

MR. FLOYD: Absolutely.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Okay because this is -- go right ahead, Carmela.

MS. ROBERTS: As of last night's
meeting, $I$ thought we had made more progress and $I$ believe that what $I$ read as a synopsis here was everything that was discussed last night, and during last night's hearing, $I$ know that both Heather and $I$ were insistent that additional study needs to be done at this point, now, to determine what improvements are needed now,
to mitigate the traffic that is expected.
The response from the applicant is that traffic signals are needed, but we don't want to put them in, you don't want to have them, and that's been the end of the conversation, $I$ believe, on the applicant's side.

What $I$ said last night, what $I$ say again tonight is, what are your recommendations in lieu of traffic signals? And that is the list that $I$ read just now, is it always, take a look at always stop control, take a look at restricting movements during peak commuter hours, overhead flashing beacons, raised or textured crosswalks, mid block crossings, et cetera, et cetera. Those were discussed last night, $I$ thought there was a consensus, I thought there was a consensus that the applicant should move forward now to provide the recommendations for what should be undertaken in conjunction with the approval, not after construction.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: And I'm in total agreement with your view on that, Carmela,
and $I$ hope the applicant will also be on the same page as we're asking them to be. MR. FLOYD: Let me just, for the record, that Carmela's characterization of Maurice's testimony is not accurate. We did not state that there was a current problem right now, and we did not state that any traffic signal is necessary right now. Put that aside, we can agree to disagree about Carmela's interpretation of Maurice's testimony. In terms of what the applicant is agreeing to do, the review letter, comment number 18 specifically speaks to a post-occupancy traffic study. We agree to do that, as $I$ just previously described.

We also did agree to do a current study on the intersection for stop signs, et cetera, and to provide that not as a condition of post-occupancy, but as a condition of the approval. So, if I misspoke earlier, my apologies, but we would agree as a condition of approval, to provide the study that Maurice described last night, and we also agree
post-occupancy, to provide a full traffic study to make sure that the counts provided in the original $T I S$ were accurate.

MS. ROBERTS: And if I could just add one thing here, my apologies on saying right now. And what $I$ mean by right now is as a result of your development, there are needs. I don't mean right now today, $I$ just wanted to clear that up.

MR. FLOYD: Understood. And again, Maurice, $I$ think we were in agreement last night about what would be provided as a condition of site plan approval and as the condition post-occupancy. So, Carmela, we agree we will provide that study as a condition of approval, as well as the post-occupancy study.

And there was also discussion last night about the grant that the DRCC for 60 thousand dollars for additional studies that can be utilized by the township, which PRC contributed to as well. MS. ROBERTS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Carmela, are we good with that, then? We'll lay those
conditions out.
MR. FLOYD: $I$ just want to make sure, so with Carmela, are we in agreement that with the Municipal Land Use Law for any offsite improvements if they are required with the post-occupancy study, that the applicant is only required to contribute it's pro rata share?

MS. ROBERTS: We'll have to talk to the attorneys about that. What I'd like to ask before that is the study that we're going to perform shortly after this approval of recommended improvements in lieu of a signal, is the applicant willing to install whatever improvements are needed in lieu of, and is that, this can be as simple as a traffic stop sign or as complex as a flashing beacon.

MR. FLOYD: I understand that even if it's installing stop signs, that in the greater scheme of things that that's not a huge cost of this project, but as a matter of law, the applicant cannot be obligated to install 100 percent of these improvements, and $I$ would ask the board
solicitor to chime in, but there is case law in the state of New Jersey from the Supreme Court down, that it's very, very clear that for offsite improvements, the applicant can only be required to contribute it's pro rata share for water, sewer, and traffic and road improvements. And even if the applicant this evening was telling you that they would agree to install 100 percent of the improvements at it's own costs, $I$ would be stepping in and saying no, as a matter of law you can't do that because it opens up this approval, if we get it tonight, to a third party challenge.

So, we just cannot agree to that and I realize that installing, for example, stop signs and flashing lights is small with respect to the overall project, but we have to make sure that we have an approval this evening, if we get an approval this evening, that is unappealable, and usually under the Municipal Land Use Law, we can only provide our pro rata share.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Jolanta, would
you like to weigh in on that with the Municipal Land Use issues?

MS. MAZIARZ: Yeah, I agree with Mr. Floyd and we did discuss this earlier today. Generally, off tracks contributions by developers are limited to their pro rata share of off-track issues. You know, we will have to figure out what that pro rata share is, this developer may owe the lion's share of that pro rata share depending on how many other properties are implicated. So, that's something that $I$ will have to agree in theory that yes, the developer is responsible for it's pro rata share.

MR. FLOYD: And again, it could be 99 percent, it could be 1 percent, and if it's 99 percent, it is what it is, $I$ just want to make sure that it's the pro rata share regardless of what that share is.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Totally understand that, Michael. MS. ROBERTS: If we are, Mr.

Chairman, Jolanta, Michael, this brings us to another point in the traffic analysis, and it was brought up in my report. The
analysis is based on a 5-year projection, which we believe is not appropriate in this situation, that 5 years is too far in the future, it should be a 2 to 3 year analysis. And this little sticking point will make a difference to the cost of an applicant's share of pro rata share of improvements.

It's an objection $I$ 've made from the moment we reviewed this and put it together. Anything can happen in these days in 5 years, it's a very uncertain timeline, and even though it was put together, that 1 percent increase per year, and that is the NJ DOT percentage, 3 years is the appropriate timeline to look at this. And from that timeline, all these other questions we're discussing are unfolding.

MR. FLOYD: Carmela, $I$ know you put that objection on the record last night, and $I$ think Maurice was very firm and clear in his response that the build out analysis that he did was accurate and he was trying to respond in details regarding the counts
and everything else and he was shut down, by you, but --

MS. ROBERTS: It's not that we said Maurice was not accurate, it's that this study, timeline, is too long, and there were no solutions offered. And his presentation was looking at a portion of the impacts, not the total impacts. So, that wasn't saying he was wrong in his analysis, that analysis is, we made a comment to what that analysis means.

MR. FLOYD: Again, $I$ know the comment is in your letter, but the fact is that you brought in Heather yesterday as the traffic expert, and neither Heather is here this evening, nor Maurice, and Maurice was very firm in his testimony, very clear in his testimony regarding why his analysis was accurate and he responded to the comment in the review letter and again, stating for the record, to be reading Heather's quote unquote synopsis into the record, it's hearsay, it's not appropriate. And $I$ feel like we're getting sandbagged right now when we specifically
stated that Maurice was not going to be available this evening, Heather was not going to be available this evening, and frankly as a common courtesy, you could have sent to us that synopsis up front so that Maurice could have had a chance to review it, and we weren't given that opportunity.

MS. ROBERTS: This was not an intentional stunt -MR. FLOYD: It most certainly was. It most certainly was.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Michael, respectfully, we have our professionals, and we brought in our traffic person, so if we can just have a conversation about this, it would definitely be helpful because it's a big project, Michael, and this is a big part of it so we want to work this through so then the residents that are already in existence there are not going to be impacted.

So, I want to get through this and I want to have a conversation and not have a conflict as we were having a little bit
last night. So, if you can respectfully understand where the borough and our professionals are coming from, it would be appreciated.

MR. FLOYD: Mr. Chairman, my apologies, and I totally understand and agree with what you're saying, my apologies to Carmela, $I$ was a little frustrated, I know our project team, that we were preparing for comments from the planner to address comments from the board and the members of the public, we weren't expecting to address traffic comments, let alone a synopsis that we haven't seen.

What $I$ would put forward if it is acceptable to Carmela and the board would be, we are agreeing to do studies as a condition of the approval as discussed; we are agreeing to do the post-occupancy traffic counts and study as agreed upon last night and this evening and as requested in the review letter; and we would agree as a condition of approval for our traffic engineer to work with Carmela and Heather to rectify any outstanding
questions or concerns that they have about the traffic counts and the study.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: That's
definitely agreeable. Carmela, your thoughts?

MS. ROBERTS: That's definitely
agreeable. For certain, $I$ do want to state, Heather couldn't come tonight, I wanted a synopsis and $I$ asked her very late in the day, it arrived about 7 p.m. I am -- did not mean to sandbag you, it was a cooperative effort, and with that -- it wasn't my intention.

MR. FLOYD: Thank you, I appreciate that.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: All right, well thank you. Carmela, any other comments, or you want to put into the record on this?

MS. ROBERTS: No additional
comments.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: All right, well, thank you Michael, and thank you Carmela.

So, moving forward from our continuation, I'll ask Brian Slaugh for his comments to share with the board and to the
applicant. Brian?
MR. SLAUGH: Thank You. I have some questions stemming from the testimony we've heard so far. I may well be straying into comments, so I thought maybe I should be sworn in.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Sure, Carmela, I mean, Jolanta?

BRIAN SLAUGH, $S-I-A-U-G-H$, sworn by the Board Attorney, testified as follows.

MR. SLAUGH: So, I had a number of questions, kind of grouped in so the applicant can have each of his professionals necessary.

There's some clarity that needs to happen, we heard some testimony last night from Mr. Constantine and Mr. Feinberg that there will 30 public parking spaces in the parking garage, yet there is another notation that indicates that it would be 20 spaces. The redevelopment plan requires the 30 spaces, so $I$ would like to confirm by PRC, that in fact it's going to be 30
spaces in the garage, or the board will need to entertain an exception for that requirement, because there's a conflict.

MR. FLOYD: It would be 30. That conflict will be resolved, it will be 30 cases.

MR. SLAUGH: Thank you. In that same thing -- so, Mr. Floyd is stipulating to that. So in a similar fashion, we heard testimony from Mr. Feinberg pertaining to electric vehicle charging stations in, on the Tract $B$ garage, but $I$ don't believe $I$ heard testimony that he would meet the $E B$ standards that are in the redevelopment plan with the project.

MR. FLOYD: The short answer is we meet $E B$ standards for all sections.

MR. SLAUGH: Okay, thank you very much for that.

We have, $I$ believe, all the mechanical equipment will be screened from view in basement areas or located on rooftops also behind power pits and not visible from the street. I'm wondering, if Mr. Feinberg can confirm that, in fact, the mechanical
equipment screening will be all screened from public view from outside the project. MR. FLOYD: I could stipulate for the record that that is correct, and it will be.

MR. SLAUGH: Thank you. On Tract A, the two-story parking garage is proposed to be concrete with a painted finish. Were there other treatments considered for the exterior facade of the parking garage?

I note that it's, if you look in the plan, it's in between the brick mill building and the concrete mill building, and the concrete mill building because of it's historic nature, $I$ believe it's just going to be clean, effectively, so it will still remain a formed concrete building, and this garage would have that treatment, but then it would not need to be painted. So, if there's any conversation that Mr. Feinberg or maybe Mr. Constantine can have about the treatment of the exterior facade of the garage, because there isn't any ornamentation, it's basically just straightforward, for the concrete it
appears, I'd like to hear some more discussion on that, if that's possible.

MR. FLOYD: Yes, Mr. Feinberg will address that.

And Mr. Chairman, just a housekeeping matter, Bill Feinberg was sworn the last two nights, I'm assuming he remained sworn or we can have the board swear him again.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: No, I think he's fine. Jolanta, $I$ know you said it's all continuous.

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes, Mr. Feinberg, you understand that you're still under oath?

MR. FEINBERG: I do.
MS. MAZIARZ: Okay, thank you. Mr.
Feinberg remains under oath, Chairman.
MR. FEINBERG: So, Brian, based on your comments that I'm hearing, what we would look at is having the surface of the two-story parking garage, textured in the same way that the historic concrete mill is which at the time, it looks like it was done with large plank boards, because at that time we didn't have steel, form works,
that we would texture that to match and then subject to our application to SHPO, if we do have to, we do have to seal the building, but we do have to paint it a color because they will dictate whether or not there was a color on the concrete where it was originally built. We would do that, if they say there was no color, then we would not apply color to the garage or to the concrete building.

MR. SLAUGH: Okay, but your intention would be that if an analysis of the surface indicates that it had been painted at one point, and if SHPO, State Historic Preservation Office, requires the building, what you intend to do, is have that emulate the brick mill building's exterior facade, is that correct?

MR. FEINBERG: That's correct. Let me just direct that, you said brick mill, the concrete mill, correct?

MR. SLAUGH: Concrete mill, did I say brick mill?

MR. FEINBERG: Yes.
MR. SLAUGH: So, in terms of the
retaining wall material, there's retaining walls, $I$ believe that form certain parts of the, effectively where the 35 townhouses are, and then the group of 8 townouses. What's the anticipated material of those retaining walls?

MR. FEINBERG: The rendering that $I$ presented last night, the retaining walls that are facing on the public street will have a brick face and a cast stone cap. The backside facing townhouses are away from the public, maybe concrete finish, or we may continue the brick finish on the backside facing away from public streets.

MR. SLAUGH: And that would pertain to both Tract A and Tract C?

MR. FEINBERG: Tract A definitely, Tract C, $I$ think that retaining wall is on the engineer's plan and it's supporting the parking lot, it's facing the brook, and I don't know what materials were originally.

Okay, so we can work with you and if we need -- if that's exposed at that end of the project to the public street on Bank Street, we would look at doing a brick
spacing on that also.
MR. SLAUGH: And $I$ would say to the board that if that's a modular concrete unit, possibly it could be landscaped a bit more as a way of disguising it's look from the street, would be another possibility in my mind to do that.

I think with the ones on 35 townhouses where you have a retaining wall, like for example near the pocket, they're kind of the pocket park, there's a retaining wall, I think SHPO is going to require that it match the exterior of the townhouses. I think that, $I$ agree Mr. Feinberg's testimony that those areas clearly need to have a similar brick face. And then the interior, $I$ believe as he points out, would be really not visible to the public unless someone really tried to see it.

So, I would agree that their treatment of possibly brick, but also possibly just finished concrete on the interior would be acceptable, too.

MR. FEINBERG: And just in addition to that, we've been very successful on the
opposite side that we just spoke about, we could have brick faced form work and then we could stain it, so it will actually look like brick and it can be stained a color to match. So, we could look at all those alternatives.

MR. SLAUGH: All right. In that same area of the 35 townouses on Tract $A$, we had identified an area where we felt that there was another stairway that would benefit access between the levels in that section from basically the parking aisle, up to the plaza level, and we had indicated that in our report, let me just find that page here.

MR. FEINBERG: I believe, Brian, you had indicated with a red $X$, where we have a gazebo and we have our inner-courtyards.

MR. SLAUGH: Yes.
MR. FEINBERG: And we discussed that internally as we reviewed your reports and we will make every effort based on grading to add that, to accommodate those stairs.

MR. SLAUGH: Okay, thank you. So, the question arises about, so $I$ wanted to make
a comment about the street lighting on North Academy, there are overhead wires that were in front of the blue industrial building, warehouse building, so as the applicant indicated, those are intended to remain. That would actually be a significant cost to try to locate those on the ground.

So, one question $I$ had was whether the street lights, which are typically placed in the planting strip between the sidewalk and the curb, whether there was an opportunity where those street lights, instead of being on the west side of North Academy Street, could be on the east side, adjacent to the sidewalk in between the sidewalk and the front facing the building, or whether those street lights would be too close to have a proper illumination.

MR. FEINBERG: And Brian, just for clarification as we're all sitting here, you're talking about Academy Street and you're talking about on the east side of the street?

MR. SLAUGH: Yes, because there was
some concern and that got expressed last night between, $I$ believe the borough engineer, and the design engineer about the street lights in front of the existing residents. And it might be something that those existing residents would welcome, don't know that answer, we might hear about that in public comment.

But, if the street lights were to be moved to the eastern side, instead of being in the planting strip, is there enough room between the sidewalk and the front face of the building to have street lights, or would it be better to have building-mounted lighting to illuminate the sidewalk and that side of the street, because the buildings are about 10 feet off the property line.

MR. FEINBERG: Correct, and what we'd be willing to do is working the condition out with Bill and yourself and Carmela, walk the street there, really locate those fixtures, we also have building-mounted fixtures for all the townhouses, and come to a resolution to
what is best for the project and best for the neighbors so we would be willing to work through that problem. And we would also work with JCP\&L through that process and invite them to the table.

MR. SLAUGH: Okay, from my standpoint, I think it would be fine, and try to work out those location issues and feel, as far as I'm concerned, if the board is willing to delegate that, $I$ would be happy to work with the applicant's professionals and borough engineer on those issues.

So, I had a different question related to the parking garage on Tract A. Again, if you look at the geotech report there's lots of instances where the seasonal high water ranges from 16 to 30 inches below the surface, and your intention is to cut into the slope to level out that slope. It's about, $I$ think your testimony, Mr.

Feinberg, yesterday was, it's about an 8-foot slope across that area, which would certainly be enough with some minor ratings to dig out that slope and put a level page parking area underneath and then a deck on
top. But it suggests that such a, and this may be a question for Mr. Portnoy, about running into high ground water issues related to the parking garage, and it also raises in my mind whether you would anticipate high water issues related to construction of the 35 townhouses since that would also be dug down.

MR. FEINBERG: And what we, two things will happen relative to the parking garage on Tract $A$, that is one of the higher portions of the site because we're sloping up as we're heading towards the height selection property. So, what we would do when we encounter ground water, we continue to do other geotech reports, we would waterproof the garage, the lower level, the slab, make sure it's resistive to hydrostatic pressure.

So, same with the townhouses as we start to do the work now, if the building has been removed and we start to move forward with the geotech reports, we're going to have to do test borings, and test pits in that area because the former
building is sitting on top of it. We would also make accommodations in our construction to waterproof foundations and alleviate any hydrostatic pressures.

MR. SLAUGH: So, some of that still needs to be worked out, you're saying, depending on, now that the property's being cleared of the warehouse, you'd be able to have that geotech work undertaken once an approval is, and you'll have to, at that stage, convince the building construction code official that you have a proper waterproofing system.

Would that require under-draining the slabs?

MR. FEINBERG: We may, depending on the hydrostatic pressure, but in some cases like the townhouses, and even with the garage on Tract $A$, we do have a daylight condition on the low side of those areas and then we can daylight into, daylight any kind of drainage. So yes, that may be part of the condition depending on how high the seasonal water table is, and the hydrostatic pressures. And we would have
to provide that information as we move forward for construction documents for our building department, we would have to have our work done in advance of that permit post us getting our approvals.

MR. SLAUGH: Okay, thank you. Turning to some other aspects of the site plan, there was a fair amount of discussion about the Rocky Brook Trail, and my recollection is that a portion of the concrete building is in the floodway, which is the high speed area, and you had, your team had indicated that any obstruction that exists with the bridge, the foot bridge, in between the two mill buildings has to remain since it's DEP's view that you have to basically maintain the conditions that are there today, is that an accurate statement?

MR. FEINBERG: That's correct.
MR. SLAUGH: So, there's not a means to get around the stairwell that you will be retaining for $S H P O$ purposes, but not using with the concrete mill building? MR. FEINBERG: That's correct and that's why we have to, regardless of the
fact that working with SHPO, we would have to leave that stair tower in place, we just can't use it as the means of egress for under the current construction codes, the new construction codes.

So, we are going to work with DEP, we are going to have DEP pre-app meeting, we have a whole list of items that we want to discuss based on the reports that we received from all the board professionals, and those items, those are, have been in the case where we have three that are now growing into foundations which, of the two existing buildings. So we need to mitigate that condition so it doesn't undermine the existing buildings as we move forward with construction. So we will keep the board apprised as we move through DEP, for the approvals.

MR. SLAUGH: And it's also not possible, maybe and probably not desirable from your point, that the trail would go between the concrete mill building and the mixed-use building, skirting your poolside amenity, is that correct?

MR. FEINBERG: That's correct.
MR. SLAUGH: And because there's like, you have the bridge that goes over and that bridge is secure, isn't that a secured area then for residents?

MR. FEINBERG: It will be secured area, we're also setting the trail in the direction of the floodway so now you're sending someone to walk in in the event of a flood, into a situation they should not be in that area.

So, that's something that you know, he regulates, and it was always a desire as we went through the process and looked at the process from the beginning, we thought it was an idea subject to DEP. So, we believe we've taken the best way of relocating and running through the trail, as $I$ described it, the donut or the Mechanic Street.

MR. SLAUGH: So let's talk about that, if $I$ understand the concept of that, between undergoing effectively the second story of the mixed-use building, what you're proposing is, $I$ think in planning terms for what is called a pedestrian
street. Is that correct? Where
pedestrians have as much, if you like, right to use the land area as vehicles, that there isn't a priority given to vehicles on that street, on that portion of the property.

MR. FEINBERG: Yeah, that's the
vision that Jim Constantine presented in his testimony. He also had a couple of photos of similar types of projects, and the idea would be to make it a pedestrian street, and that vehicles would be crossing through, it really is the intention, the European kind of streetscape, is what we're looking for.

MR. SLAUGH: So, that's brought in, having seen quite a few examples myself in Europe, so that would mean a different kind of treatment for the pavement of the street and perhaps a delineation of walk areas with only, let's say significant bollards. I've seen them done in heavy timber, I've seen them done in concrete, I've seen them done in granite, but $I$ suspect that's not something you want to talk about. And so
that you create this area, I'm just trying to understand and make sure that, so you're really trying to create this area where pedestrians will walk on any portion of that property, it isn't like a street with a sidewalk where the pedestrians are on the sidewalk and the cars are in the cartway? MR. FEINBERG: That's correct, I'm gonna have Jim Constantine give testimony because he is gonna be responsible for the design and implementation of this courtyard which crosses over between our residents and the people using the trail and the parks. So, let me turn this over to Jim. MR. FLOYD: And Jim's going to be referencing right now, Exhibit A-1, slide 17. And Jim was previously sworn on Monday evening and resworn, or recognized on Tuesday evening.

MR. CONSTANTINE: So, Brian, to answer your question, there are some images that you can see on the sides of this page which was in the presentation that $I$ made Monday evening, which basically shows the texture-paved shared space that you can see
in the upper right image. There are bollards, there's actually no curb, there's street lamps and benches and things of that nature, that sort of line, this
continuously textured-paved environment so that there's a feeling to motorists that they're actually driving to a plaza, similar to what you sometimes find in a sort of a hotel or resort entry where there's all sorts of things going on, but it's texture-paved, you move slow, you're aware that there's people, and there is a, I will say an international movement that this falls under called shared space that's being promoted elsewhere.

There have been groups in New Jersey that have held seminars on this and there's the built example in Middlesex County in the Borough of Metechen on the public street.

MR. SLAUGH: And so, some of that is, you know, the experiences that I've had where this is essentially creating a self-regulating environment for drivers, that they recognize they're not on the main
street anymore, and that drivers
automatically slow down, and $I$ think you will have that here because, particularly because you have the overhead, it looks like you're driving through the building.

So, that in itself will focus as a
very strong traffic calming aspect. One is just a play of light and shadow, for example, underneath the building as you're driving through. The fact is, and $I$ think if you treat that, and so $I$ was kind of looking at the central picture on the left side because you can see that there's cutouts and things of that nature, and where the car is is essentially like the indentation for parking that you have on the courtyard which will be on the west side.

So, it seems to be almost like that and then if you envision that with like looking backwards, and you have three stories that are above the roadway, I think this ends up being a self-regulated environment. So $I$ would agree with that that it could create a real amenity space
and a sense of arrival, and also significantly slow motorists down.

So, in my view, whether the trail goes on one side or the other, $I$ think it to some degree is irrelevant because people using the trail are gonna be all in any place on that space, whether it's where cars can drive or where they can't drive.

So, I don't know that there's a need to really focus on what side of the street the trail goes on, because it's not really a street in the conventional sense.

MR. CONSTANTINE: I would agree.
MR. SLAUGH: So, one of the things that maybe you can discuss as well is, what we haven't seen yet is essentially a signage package for the project. I don't recall seeing one in a submission. How do you intent to address signage?

MR. FLOYD: Brian, the answer is is that, you're correct, there's no signage package and our plan is to come back to the board with a complete signage package in the future for review.

MR. SLAUGH: I think there could be
some innovative things done, some retro clutches for example, $I$ could imagine a vertical sign that's all set from the front facade on North Main Street, for example, to create kind of an iconic sign image for the borough on that side.

So, that would have some retro touches to it, but there's a lot of new technology, too, with signs that could make them very attractive. And we've already heard that the applicant intends to create panels for the Tract $B$ garage where it's opened, and not covered, and so $I$ think that that will meet the standard in the redevelopment plan that indicates, that requires all the front facades, all the facades visible to be in the architectural treatment.

So, I think that doing panels or things of that nature, where the applicant could invite the public to have some discussions about it or post images for it, historic images of Hightstown that could be placed there, $I$ think that that makes for also some interesting places for pedestrians and visitors to visit and see.

Let me see here if $I$ have anything else. Well, $I$ would say that $I$ believe you've agreed to a lot of the, if you like a fair amount of the small items, these have to do with landscape plant choices, heights of some of the plant material, some of the location ensuring that if there is high water near the parking lot surface on Tract $A, I$ don't think you'll have it on Tract $B$, that the plants are designed to handle occasional wet roots. So, things of that nature, $I$ believe you've agreed to that in kind of opening statements.

MR. FLOYD: Yes, that is correct.
MR. SLAUGH: One question was, had to do with where does the chain link remain because the chain link fencing requires a design exception and if anybody is going to give testimony to that.

MR. FLOYD: It will all be removed, there will be no chain link fencing.

MR. SLAUGH: So, you'll have a fence then that's, if you need a fence then we'll have a revision to the site plan that has all the different fence types on it?

MR. FLOYD: Correct. Any fencing will comply with the redevelopment plan criteria.

MR. SLAUGH: And I believe Bill
Feinberg testified with regard to vegetative disturbance. Is it my understanding that because of it's location in environmentally-sensitive areas, that effectively the stream corridor will not be, for a better term of kind of
straightened up or cleaned up? Is that the intent to essentially keep that in a natural state?

MR. FLOYD: One moment, we're bringing Bill Feinberg back.

MR. FEINBERG: In my testimony last night, Brian, $I$ was alluding to the fact that we would like to clean up the south side of Bank Street at the bridge. As you can see, the trees are overgrown, they're growing out of the foundation, and as $I$ said earlier today and last night, that area will be under the direct direction of DEP and their regulations, our desire to clean it up and remove some of these
obstructions that are -- yes, that is also under the PRCC Control, also.

MR. SLAUGH: That's generally in the area of the existing buildings?

MR. FEINBERG: Yeah, the testimony that $I$ gave last night was all to that bridge area and the connection between the two buildings. There was a discussion earlier on Monday night from our civil engineer regarding the extension of the grass pavers and fire access behind the concrete building, but that's also under the control of PRCC and DEP. So, those are the two items where we are discussing our ability to disturb vegetation or remove vegetation, or implement the new pavement.

MR. SLAUGH: Okay, well, $I$ think from my perspective, it should look as natural as possible. If they're going to have some type of structural pavement, I would prefer a geogrid and then markers to show where to drive there, but because you're trying to create this greenway, pavement doesn't create a greenway, it detracts from it, and that's my two cents about that.

MR. FEINBERG: And my belief is, DEP is not going to allow us to have the paving, or grass creed, or natural, some ability for the fire engine and I gave testimony that we would provide a dried sandpipe for the storage connection from Bank Street back to behind the building and to work on the grading so that ladders could be lifted up in the back.

So, our belief is that the DEP's restrictions and DRCC, what we show on the plan is the best solution and probably will be the solution that is accepted for those approval.

MR. SLAUGH: Okay, thank you.
So, there is, $I$ think the last item I would like to bring up, I should have covered it a little bit before, had to do with your testimony, Mr. Feinberg related to the roof pitch for the townhouses, 35 units of townhouses, so the 6 and a half and 12 pitch and then you had indicated, I believe, an 8 and a half and 12 pinch for the townhouses on Track C. If, perhaps, we can have the perspective on the corner of

North Academy and Bank Street put up.
So, one of the things $I$ was wondering about is whether that roof pitch could be increased on the sort of the public side, if an 8 and a half by 12 is, or an 8 by 12 is a more traditional roof pitch for, if you have like this, you have like federal touches that are here with the buildings, I was thinking that, particularly when we look at the townhouses facing on North Academy, that that roof pitch had been too much of the garden apartment look about it and whether that can be increased, hide this lip in here with the stories, not the height per se.

MR. FEINBERG: What we'll do is,
we'll match the 8 and a half on 12 pitch on the townhouses that you see on the exhibits which would be the south side of Banks and the east side of Academy to match the 8 and a half by 12 that we have on the north side of Banks, so that's acceptable.

MR. SLAUGH: Thank you very much. I did have a question on the pent roofs that are at the front doors. And so, allof
these are shed roofs, so with this type of arrangement where, and $I$ appreciate the detail that you've put into this actually, I like that you have a curved arch, brick shoulder course that's on the townhouse here on the left, for example that you can see. But the question $I$ have is, with this kind of townhouse look, you would more traditionally have gable-ended, not shed style front doors and then $I$ would say that there is, seems to be somewhat of a, not a clear pattern as to why some doors have pent roofs and some don't.

So, that looked to me in the design vocabulary and maybe a little confused on the pent roofs of how they should look. So, I'm sure you have a reason, so if perhaps you could have a discussion about those and maybe if you, well I should let you just answer the question.

MR. FEINBERG: So, we wanted to have
a variety of entrance ways and so if you look at this right here, this has a two-story archway on top of the door without a pent roof, this one has what you
call a pent roof, some has, this has a straight transfer over top, so we were trying to get a variety, and $I$ see now looking at the rendering, that the shed roofs kind of all look the same. So what $I$ would suggest, if it is acceptable, we would have some shed roofs, and maybe we'll turn the gable to the street, in addition to having some that would not have roofs on top. So we really have a greater variety of entrance ways, how each house was built separately by separate individuals. So would you agree to do that and work through that?

MR. SLAUGH: Okay, I think with that, that covers my questions. I would just point to the board that one of the retaining walls requires a design exception because it's a little bit over 8 feet, retaining walls are limited to 8 feet. I don't think it's significant, so $I$ would recommend that the board grant that design exception for that retaining wall. I think it's in the 35 -unit townhouse project. And with that, Mr. Chairman, those are
the questions that $I$ had for the applicant and his witnesses.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Okay, thank you, Brian.

MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chair, this is
Carmela Roberts, $I$ was wondering if $I$ can ask one additional question.

As I'm thinking back to Monday night and the presentation that was made by Yosef, $I$ don't recall if the applicants specifically said they would comply with everything in my letter with the exception of what Yosef pointed out. So, I ask that it is either reconfirmed, or that it is confirmed tonight, that there are no other things in my letter, that we are not in agreement with.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I will defer to Michael and also Jolanta pertaining to conditions.

MS. MAZIARZ: Yeah, I can go over the conditions that $I$ 've marked and we can discuss that at the end of the hearing. After the board has heard from the public, there may be changes, once the board has a
discussion with the public.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Okay.
MR. FLOYD: The applicant agrees to comply with the comments and conditions in Carmela's letter, other than as discussed or clarified or revised during other testimony Monday, Tuesday, or this evening.

MS. ROBERTS: Very good. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: All right, thank you, Michael.

MS. MAZIARZ: That's not really helpful, can we specify what you're not going to be complying with?

MR. FLOYD: I mean, I --
MS. MAZIARZ: Okay, Carmela?
MS. ROBERTS: I can go back to the
notes that $I$ took.
MS. MAZIARZ: Because I literally
have 15 pages of notes at this point so.
MS. ROBERTS: Same here, about 6 pages each evening. Shall we wait for this, or do you want to do this at this point?

MS. MAZIARZ: Maybe we should wait
for it because we may have to modify some of this.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Why don't we wait for that.

MR. FLOYD: Mr. Chairman, I think it's appropriate to have any approval conditioned upon satisfaction of the comment in Carmela's letter, except for those that were clarified, or we provided additional testimony to during the hearings Monday and Tuesday night, and if there is a question about what was testified to, we have the ability to look at the zoom video and the transcript.

MS. MAZIARZ: I'm not disputing that, you know sometimes those things are not exactly the, aren't as clear as you imagined.

MR. FLOYD: No, understood, and we would be willing to work out any specific language in the resolution of approval with you.

MS. MAZIARZ: Sure.
MS. ROBERTS: And from my
perspective, that comment's very helpful if
the only things that we spoke about, and that you made comment to, are the things that deviate from my letter, than $I$ understand your presentation and $I$ am fine with it.

MR. FLOYD: That is correct, so anywhere where our testimony, you had comments, we provided clarification, that's on the record, anything else that we did not provide additional testimony, we agree to comply with the conditions or comments in your letter, that is correct.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay, so generally, the conditions or the comments that Carmela recommended to be conditions began on page 16 of the report.

MR. FLOYD: Of Carmela's?
MS. ROBERTS: No, no, that's not right.

MS. MAZIARZ: I know you have comments before that, but they went over those comments and $I$ think $I$ have which are conditions and which are not.

MS . ROBERTS: Okay.
MR. FLOYD: We would agree to review
them in detail with you with a draft resolution of approval, and if there is any issue, we would order the transcript and review it.

MS. MAZIARZ: That's fine.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you,
Jolanta. Thank you, Michael.
Okay, at this point of the meeting I'm gonna ask any of our volunteer commissions to step forward and any questions they may have or comments they may have, and I'll start with our fire department. Is there a representative online to make any comments or ask any questions at this time?

You may need to unmute yourself if you want to speak. But I'd ask you to state your name and address for the record.

I saw Neal Glackin is present, Neal, do you have anything? If not, I'll move on to our commissions. Anyone from environmental, historic, or parks and rec, health department, anyone wishes to step forward please states your name and address. You may need to unmute yourself if you want to speak.

Sandy, I don't see anybody stepping forward.

MS. BELAN: No, I don't hear anyone.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: So, at this
point I'll ask our planning board members for some $Q$ and $A$ with the testimony that's been put before us by the applicant, or else if you have any questions for our own professionals pertaining to this application.

COUNCILMAN MISIURA: I had something, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Go right ahead, Steve Misiura.

COUNCILMAN MISIURA: During the course of the presentation, a boutique hotel was mentioned a couple of times. Can we just get a little bit more information and definition as to what that is and how it fits into this project or you know, just a little bit more description on that, because that term was used but not a lot was discussed about what it is, exactly.

MR. CONSTANTINE: Jim Constantine, I'll answer that question.

The boutique hotel is a permitted use on Tract $B$ in the mixed-use building in the redevelopment plan, so if it were to be optioned in where those boutique hotel rooms were to replace a certain number of apartments in that building, there will be a replacement of those and they will have access from a lobby down on North Main Street, there will be parking in the garage, it will effectively be serviced by the same amenities as the rest of the building.

COUNCILMAN MISIURA: So, it's essentially a small retail -- people could make a reservation and stay there.

MR. CONSTANTINE: Correct, we talked about possibly removing 10 apartments and replacing it with 16 boutique hotel rooms.

COUNCILMAN MISIURA: Okay, thanks.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you,
Steve, thank you, Jim.
Planning board members, any other questions?

Yes, Fred this is Bev, I got a
few things $I$ would like to talk about.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Go right ahead,
Bev.
VICE CHAIRWOMAN ASSELSTINE: First of all, thank you for the presentation. I think this is a very exciting project and I think the presentation was excellent. I think with the exception of the conversation around traffic where $I$ think we still had some things to talk about, I think overall the plan is very good. But $I$ also think we have a good plan for moving forward on the discussion in some of those traffic issues.

There's a couple of things $I$ wanted to talk about. First, I'm very happy to hear in your testimony that you've been through the county, and that you're aware of the importance of bicycle facilities to the county and probably heard about the county bicycle plan, and when you talked to the county planners. And when you talked about the -- clarified in your presentation, the bicycle facilities, the bike racks and bike storage, you also mentioned a bike repair
station. And $I$ have wanted to point out a couple of things, North Main Street is actually on a cross state, a major cross state bicycle route that goes from the northwest corner down to Cape May and the southeast corner, and it comes right down North Main Street in Hightstown.

So I would like to encourage you to place bicycle racks and your bicycle repair station on the North Main Street side of the development because $I$ think that's gonna provide, we get a fair number of long distance bikers coming through Hightstown on North Main Street, so I think that would be a nice enticement for them to stop, get off their bikes, and actually look around Hightstown, so I would like to encourage that.

Also, to point out, when the county was doing their bike plan last fall, finalizing it, Hightstown was one of the five demonstration projects that they did in the county, and the bike lanes that we have on North Main Street from the borough line down to Monmouth, were part of that
demonstration project.
We deferred the treatment for bicycle facilities from Monmouth through downtown, knowing that the redevelopment project was coming in. So, $I$ think when we work on, together, on some of these transportation issues, this is a great opportunity for us to look at the opportunities for treatment of bike route for the rest of the way through town.

Secondly, $I$ would like to talk about Bank Street. We're also in the process of doing a mobility plan for Hightstown, working with the state department of transportation under a technical assistance plan. And the plan will probably be finalized by the end of the year, but one of the things that we had been trying to solve for is a network of bike routes around town and Bank Street is going to be an important bike route for us.

So, in the, $I$ believe it's in Carmela's letter on page 6, she's summarizing the treatment for Bank Street and she talks about how it is a different
cartway of 28 feet wide and you are going to be adding on-street parking. So, my question there is, what's going to be the dimensions of the roadway with the on-street parking? I think at 28 feet we're pretty tight to install a bike lane on that road, but I'm just carious what the final dimensions would be. Is that something you can answer quickly?

MR. FLOYD: So, we're moving the curb in so it's going to be recessed with the parking to create additional travel lanes. There's not going to be any impact.

VICE CHAIRWOMAN ASSELSTINE: So you
think it will still be 28 feet?

MR. FLOYD: Correct.
VICE CHAIRWOMAN ASSELSTINE: Which is
still a tad bit tight for a bike lane. But what $I$ would ask is that when Bank Street is reconstructed, $I$ understand that it's going to have to be repaved and reconstructed because of all the water lines and all underground power and all of that stuff. I ask that you consider the mobility plan and as appropriate, add
whatever bike signage and pavement markings are appropriate in that area.

Probably I'm talking about pavement markings for shared road signage for the bike route and that sort of thing. But I ask you to take that into consideration at that time.

MR. FLOYD: We will take that into consideration.

VICE CHAIRWOMAN ASSELSTINE: I know you already agreed to the midblock crosswalk at Rocky Brook Park, and $I$ know that one of the other things you agreed to was further discussion on Academy Street with the on-street parking and the issues with where that starts and ends and the balance of on-street parking for the funeral home and the next block up.

I also would like you to consider in that discussion the possibility of, it's difficult to tell by looking at the drawings, but if there is a possibility of doing another curb cut and adding a crosswalk over to Grant Avenue, I believe that the townhouses are going to be a
little bit larger, of course, from the apartments. You're gonna have young families there, and that's gonna be accountable to bring pedestrians over to Association Park, with the playground and the picnic tables and the concert gazebo, and I think we will have a lot of pedestrian traffic there. So if that could be worked into the plan in your discussions, $I$ would like to see that as well.

MR. FLOYD: We'll take it under consideration and our engineer will work with your professionals to see if we can incorporate that recommendation. We just haven't looked at it yet, but we will.

VICE CHAIRWOMAN ASSELSTINE: Yep, thank you, $I$ appreciate that.

And the last comment $I$ wanted to make, I know there was a slight disagreement, different points of view between Brian and Carmela on the Mechanic Street with the courtyard. I still think that what you agreed to previously to sit down with Carmela and get the options for the pathway
whether the path runs on the east side or the west side, $I$ still think that conversation is important and should be a part of the condition for approval. I think that having the fire staff come through there and emergencies and the entrance and exits out of the garage still creates a conflict with pedestrians.

So I still think that's a conversation that should take place just to make sure if we're considering safety as well as the advantages of that conventional street which Brian talked about, which $I$ think is also a great thing.

Also, it was my understanding that the courtyard was assessed and just to ensure that we've got an ADA compliance out of the way for people to use that part of the greenway, as well. So, those are my concerns.

MR. FLOYD: It will definitely be ADA compliant.

VICE CHAIRWOMAN ASSELSTINE: That's it, thank you.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: At this time I'm
gonna ask Sandy if you could unmute everyone, because I'm getting some messages saying that some [INAUDIBLE].

MS. BELAN: Unmute everyone?
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Yes, unmute everyone. If anyone has a comment from fire department?

MR. GLACKIN: [INAUDIBLE].
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Sandy, could you hear Neal's question?

MS. BELAN: No, it's too garbled.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I'm going to ask everyone if they can mute themselves, and we'll keep -- Sandy's not going to mute you, so that we'll have less interference. And then, Neal, if you wanted to state your question or comment again.

MR. GLACKIN: Neal Glackin, G-L-A-C-K-I-N.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Go right ahead, Neal.

MR. GLACKIN once again, $I$ was the only one, $I$ believe, last night from the fire department for the meeting up until we got into the traffic thing when that ended,

I ended.

I did talk to our team today in reference to what was brought up last night. I believe we talked about knox boxes, we talked about sprinklers, both in the parking garages and the building themselves. I expressed that about the pavers by the pool area whether that was going to be a DEP situation, which $I$ passed that along to the fire chief today and in discussion and anything else that was fire related, $I$ passed along with a few other things.

He was okay with all that, I did not speak to the marshall, fire marshall himself, $I$ just spoke as chief, and they were looking forward to having a meeting with the actual developer at a later date which $I$ think is what they were told, and that's pretty much where we stood.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you.
Okay, thank you, Neal.
MR. GLACKIN: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Anyone else form しhings any other commissions, wish to make the
comment or okay, so I'll open it back up to our planning board.

If you could kind of keep
conversations to the meeting.
I'll ask our planning board members, any questions? Since we're all unmuted.

MR. BALCEWICZ: Yeah, this is Joe Balcewicz $I$ have a couple of questions. CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Go ahead, Joe. MR. BALCEWICZ: Okay, on Monday night, $I$ asked some questions about where power poles might still be located within the development area and $I$ thought you were going to have a drawing to show those locations available yesterday; didn't see it. Is that still something you're planning to provide?

MR. FLOYD: I'm going to ask Bill Feinberg to speak to the poles above ground, below ground, and any relocations. MR. FEINBERG: So, Doug, I know it came up in some of the testimony from our engineer, and what we're gonna do is, we're gonna have the testimony that $I$ gave that we're working with JCP\&L and both your
company, CCL, and also Hights Electric will be at the table when we have our next meeting with JCP\&L regarding pole location/relocation because they determine ultimately where a pole would go. We can't make that decision.

MR. BALCEWICZ: Okay, great, thank you for that. I keep hearing an echo of about two seconds.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: That's because we're all unmuted.

MR. BALCEWICZ: I don't know if you ever heard but when you used to talk by a telephone through satellite, it makes about a half a second delay, people go crazy.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: We just want to make sure everybody has a voice tonight.

MR. BALCEWICZ: Okay, I'll keep going.

The next question had to do with the cultural arts commission. I didn't see any input from them regarding the development plans, but they have an applicant willing to work with them in terms of facade images, and maybe art work that may be
distributed throughout the development area.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Is there
anyone from Cultural Arts [INAUDIBLE] to this application?

MS. BELAN: No, they did not.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: And they were notified?

MR. SLAUGH: Mr. Balcewicz, this is Brian Slaugh. They are the planning analysts for the garage level, they create a good canvas in which to put -[INAUDIBLE].

MR. BALCEWICZ: Brian, $I$ can't make out a word you're saying.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Please mute everyone.

MR. SLAUGH: So the applicant had indicated that they're going to work with arts groups within the -- related to the new facade for the exterior to create panels that will be attached to the exterior facade of the parking garage which will be visible from walking up North Main Street, as well as along the trail, along
the Stony Brook, and they were thinking about images from Hightstown's heritage or other images that were -- I think that there is an opportunity there for the cultural arts folks to be involved in art work at the site.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Okay, well, that's good information.
[CROSS-TALK]
MS. BELAN: I'm gonna put everybody on question and answer and you're gonna have to click on the question mark if they have a question. If that helps.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Very good.
MR. BALCEWICZ: So, regarding the flood zone, $I$ think there was testimony that the ground floor of all the buildings would be at least two feet above flood stage. But if that's true, then my question was, are we talking about the flood stage due to a 100 -year flood or 500-year flood, or what was the criteria? This is Joe Balcewicz. Is there a response to my question?

MS. BELAN: I'm gonna have to get PRC
back, hold on.
PRC, did you hear Joe's question?
I think they heard you, Joe, but they couldn't answer. Now they should be able to answer.

MR. PORTNOY: The finished floor of all buildings would be a minimum of two feet above the FEMA flood plain elevation. Does that answer the question?

MS. BELAN: Joe Balcewicz, does that answer your question? Joe Balcewicz, did your question get answered? Can you hear us?

MR. BALCEWICZ: I'm unumuted again. I heard a response to my questions, but it was not complete enough. You said the finished floor of the first floor of the buildings is a minimum of two feet above the FEMA flood height, flood stage.

MR. PORTNOY: Flood plain.
MR. BALCEWICZ: Does that refer to a 100 or a 500-year flood or something else?

MR. PORTNOY: The FEMA regulatory flood plain which is 100 , that's what DEP building code is all based on. So it could
comply with NJ DEP and building code.
MR. BALCEWICZ: Some floors of the development are below that flood level, right, like lower floors of the parking garage, for instance, and basements of the buildings? Is that correct?

MR. PORTNOY: The lower level of the parking garage is below which is permitted by DEP and construction code. Any areas below the flood will be signage proposed indicating there will be areas subject to flooding.

MR. BALCEWICZ: Okay, does that mean, are their pumps involved in mitigating any flood waters that might accumulate there? How do you get rid of that?

MR. PORTNOY: The lower level of the parking garage will be equipped with pumps in the event that heavy water does accumulate in there.

MR. BALCEWICZ: Is that both parking garages or just the Tract $B$ one?

MR. PORTNOY: No, just Tract B, Tract A entirely above the flood plain.

MR. BALCEWICZ: And my final question
deals with, $I$ didn't see anything in the presentation, although it may have been in some of the materials that were provided, about the effect of this development on the local school district or on borough tax rates. Now, maybe that's something that you don't need to provide, but it's a question that $I$ certainly have. MR. FLOYD: I understand your question, and $I$ would ask the board solicitor to chime in as well, but $I$ don't think it's appropriate as a matter of law to talk about the impact to this project to the borough tax base. As discussed in the previous evening's testimony, the applicant is in the process of negotiating a redevelopment agreement with the township that will be dealt with by the governing body.

MR. BALCEWICZ: So, how does, open up the school impacts, is that also off limits?

MR. FLOYD: Yes, again we're negotiating with the governing body on a redevelopment agreement and potentially a
financial agreement, but again, the jurisdiction for those agreements are solely with the governing body, not with the planning board.

MR. BALCEWICZ: Okay, thank you.
MS. BELAN: The next board member is Beth.

MS. WATKINS: Hi.
MS. BELAN: I think we lost Beth. Mr. Laudenberger, do you have a question?

MR. LAUDENBERGER: Can you hear me?
MS. BELAN: Yes, PRC can you hear?
MR. FLOYD: Yes.
MR. LAUDENBERGER: Okay [INAUDIBLE]
everything, the entire presentation [INAUDIBLE]. I'm curious to hear an explanation about the name, if you guys could tell us how you came up with that name.

MR. FLOYD: Are you talking about the name of the project? We discussed on Monday evening, Bank Street Village.

MR. LAUDENBERGER: That's right.
MR. FLOYD: The feedback we received was not to call it City Line, so we thought
that Bank Street Village was an appropriate name given the location and the project layout. And it came from our marketing people as well from PRC.

MR. LAUDENBERGER: My thoughts
were, considering you're doing all of this effort to maintain the historical aspect of it being a mill, $I$ thought maybe, $I$ was just curious if you guys had any other ideas about a more unique name or something that reminds us of mill, but that's just my own opinion. I was just wondering if you guys had any other ideas about that or it was just a pure marketing standpoint.

MR. FEINBERG: I can answer that question because $I$ was working with the PRC Marketing Group. And so we changed the name to Bank Street Village, but each building will be individually named and numbered so we may relate back to Mill Building number 6, or it might be something to the effect to the engine room or the boiler room, with the Boiler Building Number 12 . So we're working through that so each building will have something that's
reminiscent to the original mill with the overriding name being Bank Street Village. So we do have some consideration that we are working on currently.

MR. LAUDENBERGER: Okay, thank you.
MS. BELAN: Thanks Tom. Okay, Beth, we're going to Beth. Beth Watkins?

MS. WATKINS: Hi Sandy, can you hear me now?

MS. BELAN: Yes, $I$ can, thank you. MS. WATKINS: Thank you very much, this is Beth Watkins. My question is regarding the $T r a c t ~ C ~ p a r t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ p r o p e r t y . ~$ Since the Willis House is going to be demolished, was there any consideration in placing the townhouses further back on that property as opposed to right on the street?

MR. FLOYD: The answer is no.
MS. WATKINS: No, there wasn't
consideration?
MR. FLOYD: Let me have Bill Feinberg give some color to that.

MR. FEINBERG: Two things we looked at was we wanted to line the street with townhouses on both sides, and we didn't
want to impact the single family homes as you turned the corner on Academy and start to head up Park. So, we thought it was best that the yellow house is now centered on Park, and we didn't push them further back into the single family neighborhood.

MS. WATKINS: Okay, I guess that goes into the other part of my question then, since you did give great care in recreating the yellow house to mirror the look of the original property, which I really appreciate, and $I$ think you did a great design job on that, given the picture that I looked at. Was any consideration given to placing the yellow house in front of the townhouses? Because I feel like the townhouses block the view of that beautiful house, then.

MR. FEINBERG: There was no consideration, but as you walk on North Academy and you head north, the distance between the back of the townhouse and the yellow house, 24, probably about close to 30 feet, 40 feet, so you're gonna get a pretty good view. The rendering that $I$
presented was highlighting the townhouses, as the yellow house was in the background, but $I$ think as you walk the neighborhood, you're gonna get a great view of that side entrance, which is the main entrance for our resident amenities. So, I believe we'll be able to, you'll notice that once the building is up.

MS. WATKINS: Yeah, I get what you're saying with that view, it's just being a resident, and walking and running and biking and riding, driving in that area, you know that corner, $I$ guess that corner, $I$ guess as we get into the traffic question, which $I$ know we can't get into tonight, but it's just that corner is sort of precarious as it is, and looking at it, it just seems with the townhouses lining it there, it just gives it more of a boxed in feel. So $I$ was just asking if there was consideration of kind of flipping those two properties, moving the townhouses back, or maybe lining them in a different angle and just really giving that yellow house the respect that it probably deserves.

MR. FEINBERG: And again, we were trying to line the street on both sides. We have also, those townhouses, we actually eliminated one, we had 9 townhouses and to your point of giving you a visual -- the ability to see around the corner, we actually eliminated, at that point it was almost 24 feet.

So the townhouses on that particular corner starts about 24 feet back from the curb line that exists now on Academy, so we did take that into consideration and eliminated a unit.

MS. WATKINS: Okay, thank you.
MS. BELAN: Any other planning board members that have questions? You need to come to the $Q$ and $A$ session.

MR. FEINBERG: Sandy, right now, we're set up as cohosts, so we believe you should be able to hear us, but if you change the setting and you go into $Q$ and $A$, we'll let you know if you can hear us and we can hear you.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Sandy, we need to have myself, Jolanta, to participate in
this $Q$ and $A$ with everybody else, be a cohost is right, thank you. We're going to have to do something about that.

I know we're having problems with
people that are phoning in, they cannot unmute themselves, so if you can just open it back up again and we'll ask anybody who can mute themselves and then unmute themselves to do that, and then anybody that cannot mute themselves, to be respectful and wait their turn.

MS. BELAN: I'm gonna try to make you a cohost also.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Yeah, I need to be, there's no doubt, because it can go off the rails real quick.

MS. BELAN: Okay, I'm hoping I have three of you as cohosts now, I'm going to go into $Q$ and $A$.

Fred, can you hear us?
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Yes, I can.
MS. BELAN: PRC, can you hear us?
MR. FLOYD: Yes.
MS. BELAN: Jolanta?
MS. MAZIARZ: I can hear you. Can
you hear me?
MS. BELAN: Yes, I can hear you.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I just want to be able to still run this meeting, you know, as the chairman.

So has anybody else raised their hand from our planning board?

MS. BELAN: No, I don't show anyone else from the planning board.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Okay, so at this point of the meeting $I$ will open it for public comment.

MS. BELAN: The first person we have is Gene Seraphin.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Okay, perfect. Thank you, Sandy. And we'll have him state his name and address for the record, of course.

MR. SERAPHIN: Eugene Seraphin, 628 South Main Street, Hightstown.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Yes, thank you.
MR. SERAPHIN: It was a wonderful presentation of the architect showing the elevation, plus the expert witnesses's testimony. Since the borough was providing
water sewer streets, sidewalks, public schools, maybe we're partners with the developer. However, $I$ don't feel like a partner because the questions $I$ have are where is [INAUDIBLE]? What will the rent be? How many people will occupy the total project? What will be the rental prices? What will be the total revenue that are received by the developers? I can make guesstimates at 400 units, it's a 60 million dollar project, based on their 6 million dollar figure they gave about available cash.

So, if your rentals average 1500 a unit, you're talking about 6 million in rentals a year. Why are they saying that they can't answer these questions because they're in negotiations? Therefore, your whole planning board open session is meaningless. If you have a plan, you have to have all the information that serves your impact which you know is required for your school, impact on the tax rate, impact on anything else in this town.
You're all worried about the traffic,
that's fine, what about the real things about the people who come to live in this town will be at least 400 , more like 800 , who will they be, what will their rents be? What will the impact be in our community? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you for your comments, Gene.

Next, public comment, Sandy. MS. BELAN: Steve Slavin. MR. SLAVIN: Can everybody hear me? CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Yes, we can. Please state your name.

MR. SLAVIN: Yes, my name is Steve Slavin, I'm an attorney, I'm with Turp, Coates, Driggers, and White located right here in Hightstown.

I'm actually here representing Mr. and Miss Bernard Stella with regard to the ownership of the property at 156 Stockton Street, which houses their business, Hights Electric. I know the board has heard about them during the course of the applicant's application and presentation.

My client's property is located
adjacent to the applicants's proposed redevelopment to the south. It's the center of their project and adjacent to their proposed two-story parking structure. They share a boundary that is currently separated by the applicant's chain link fence. My client operates a business that rebuilds and maintains large electric motors for any users including ventilation motors for major hospital, Amtrak and sewer and water treatment plants. The business is fairly unique in it's operation by way of their electric utility service requirements, and location in the community for the past 48 years.

So, they have some questions, but I think many of them have been addressed. Location of the build of the parking garage was originally going to be to construct a two-story parking garage, 5 feet off the property line of the applicant's chain link fence. Our office has spoken to their attorney who, $I$ believe, is present there, and they're satisfied with their commitment to address the client's concerns by moving
the two-story parking structure 18 feet to the north, which would place the structure 23 feet from the property boundary, and approximately 28 feet from my client's building.

I just want to confirm that that is what was $I$ believe stated and said by counsel, $I$ believe was yesterday, or maybe even Monday.

Our second question has to do with the ventilation, and it's our understanding that there is some ventilation on the north side of the building, but we're not sure what the ventilation would be on the south side where my client's property is. We're hoping that there's, you know, no ventilation is going to cause some kind of fumes or issues for my client. We would like that addressed.

And then there's also an important aspect of this is that my client would like to note that there's no nothing indicating how the applicant intends to fence or buffer between their parcel and the development around the parking structure.

My client has mechanicals for their property, 5 feet space between their building and the applicant's property, and has historically gained access to the property, their property, their building roof area behind the building between the, what will be the new parking garage and their building. And they need some kind of space to be able to continue to do that.

So, he's seeking a clarification on the buffering plan and the fencing and asking this question, $I$ want to emphasize that my client has a high power transmission lines coming onto their property supplied from utility poles on the applicant's property.

The properties are currently separated, secured by the applicant's fence that exists. Sufficient fencing is going to be necessary to keep all the intended pedestrian traffic from this project into downtown from trespassing or attempting to cross my client's property.

So, this is a safety concern, and my client requests that any fence or buffering
continue to permit my client to gain access to the rear of his property for fire, safety, as well as easement to permit my client periodic access, he needs to get to the back of his building with a bucket truck, for maintenance or access to the roof of our property, we're asking the applicant to consider that for my client. Essentially, one of the last things is JCP\&L. My client's business has a requirement for continued high power electric connections to operate their business as $I$ understand the applicant intends to work with JCP\&L to move the power lines from Bank Street, down an extension, to Mechanic Street, to the Rocky Brook underground, then above ground, from Bank, I'm sorry, from the brook to my client's property.

With this change, there are risks the power supply being affected for my client, I believe, actually, the applicant has said that they're going to allow us to sit at the table with JCP\&L to ensure that there is no interruption of service, and that we
will continue to have good service with regard to, so it does not cause any problems with the business.

So in conclusion, our only concerns, my client's concerns, are that the ventilation of the lower level of the parking structure be addressed with regard to where my client's building is, access to open space between the properties, proper fencing and buffering, and the electrical connection to service.

With that, $I$ thank the board and you, Mr. Chairman, thank you.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: All right, thank you, Steven. Jolanta, is this something -excuse me, Steven.

MR. SLAVIN: I was just going to say, is that something the applicant's attorney would be able to answer, if possible?

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I want to speak to our attorney first.

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes, I received a letter, I'm getting so much feedback -- I received the letter yes, but and if the speaker right now, and $I$ missed your name.

MR. SLAVIN: I'm sorry, Steve Slavin, the letter was probably from George White. MS. MAZIARZ: It was, that's right. If you would like that marked into evidence, you could provide that to sandy and we could mark it into evidence, but $I$ believe that you have articulated what was in that letter. If you have any questions, I think that the applicant should be answering those questions and responding to that.

MR. SLAVIN: Thank You, that's what I was asking for, if that would be possible.

MR. FLOYD: I had problems hearing what was discussed but -- we're getting a lot of feedback here.

MR. SLAVIN: Can $I$ very quickly just address it? Can you hear me now?

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I think your letter may be enough, Steven. As Jolanta said, we'll file that and we'll have a discussion. I know a few of the items have been addressed.

MR. SLAVIN: I believe that is correct, $a$ few of them have, we just want
to make sure the others are as well.
MR. FLOYD: We can address them right now.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Go ahead,
Michael.
MR. FLOYD: Mr. Slavin is correct that the garage is gonna be shifted to the north and that was testified to earlier, the plans will be being revised accordingly.

In terms of the question regarding ventilation and fumes, there will be nothing on that adjoining property line on that facade of the garage, everything will be on the north side of the garage so no impact there.

There will be a fence, but it will be on top of the structure -- we'll work with the boards's professionals on the location of that fence and the style of the fence. We don't have an issue with access to that open space. I'm sorry I'm gonna ask Peter Wersinger to jump in.

MR. WERSINGER: This is Peter Wersinger, $I$ testified very, very briefly
on Monday night so $I$ consider myself still sworn.

I'm the senior VP and general counsel for the applicant. I spoke with George White on Monday and just to confirm, I indicated to George at that time that we would be moving the, as Michael Floyd just indicated, we'll be moving the parking facility to the north, approximately 18 feet, which would provide the 5 feet or 8 feet that is on the Hights Electric side, it should end up being 28 to 32 feet separation. Since the parking structure is on grade as it relates to the Hights boundary line, there will be no ventilation, no windows facing the Hights Electric property.

We indicated that we would work with the board and with our neighbors in order to have fencing to provide security which may be on top of the 42 inch retaining wall, a protective wall that is on the edge of the parking facility, the parking structure, but in terms of access we have no problem with that either. But that's
gonna have to be accomplished by way of easement so that it's done properly, and we have no problem doing that to accommodate the needs and requirement of our neighbor. CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Okay, very good Peter. Thank you, Michael.

MR. FLOYD: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Steve, does that answer your question?

MR. SLAVIN: It does, and that's what we were looking for was the, $I$ think that answers everything, and we do want, we were looking for that easement just so he can get to that access once or twice a year when he needs to get back there.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Very good, thank you.

MR. SLAVIN: Thank you, sir.
MS. BELAN: Fred, do you want to tell the public that if they're trying to access the $Q$ and $A$ from their phone, they have to dial in and star 6 to get into the $Q$ and $A$ sessions.

I've got Christi Palmer. MS. PALMER: My name is Christi

Palmer, $I$ live at 121 Park Avenue, which is approximately 1 block from the development.

First of all, $I$ want [INAUDIBLE] the original footprint and the style of the Willis House. It actually is [INAUDIBLE] -- we also [INAUDIBLE] that house is a little bit more prominently visible along Bank Street -- further to [INAUDIBLE].

Along the lines of the Willis House and the house that is at 121 Park Avenue that $I$ reside in, both of those properties were built out about the same time with probably the same architect because the Willis House original footprint and 121 Park Avenue are mirror images of each other. Can you guys still hear me?

MS. BELAN: It was very garbled, the first part. Do you have your phone and your computer next to each other?

MS. PALMER: No, I'm just going through my computer.

MS. BELAN: You're clear now, but the first part was garbled.

MS. PALMER: Okay, $I$ was getting a lot of feedback also at that point.

I'll repeat. I commend the architect on the renderings of the Willis House, it is a faithful reproduction of the original footprint, plus the first addition. The Willis House is a mirror image of our house at 121 Park Avenue.

At the time those houses were built, along the Academy Street where the blue [INAUDIBLE] used to be, there were either 4, somewhere between 4 and 6 other houses with similar style and construction. And although the current renderings of the townome are consistent with the master plan, $I$ would urge the planning board and counsel and PRC to consider utilizing that late Victorian style instead of the more earlier, federal brick style, if possible.

This would be more consistent with the residential neighborhood just to the east, I'm sorry just to the west of Bank Street mill property.

I had a few more things, just bear with me. I've listened since 7:30 on Monday, so I've got a few comments. Following up on some of the comments
made about the Greenway and the path, I echo Steve Misiura's initial comment about locating the path of the Greenway, not through that center courtyard of the $C$, but around the outside of the C.

The reason for that is the Greenway isn't meant to provide a connection to nature, and the more nature that you can provide, or connection to nature, the better. And moving it through a courtyard, even [INAUDIBLE] is a very different vibe and feeling than walking along the creek and then having exit that portion of the Greenway go from that $C$, and through the $C$, and the existing concrete mill.

Because there is consideration for providing access by the fire engines to the pool area, that area can also serve as the pathway around to continue the Greenway on how to exit on to Bank Street just slightly west of where it would have been otherwise. Following along the lines of the Greenway and having green open space, I heard nothing to address the rest of the property $C$, or redevelopment area C. Are
there any plans to have the remaining space be available to the community, and to the residences for some passive recreation, perhaps putting in another type of pathway there for people to connect with nature in a more naturalized setting?

The Willis House has some excellent old bones in terms of landscaping. With the current plan, $I$ doubt there will be much that can be saved because of the massive shifting of dirt and townhouses and moving the new yellow house into it's proposed structure, or proposed space. But if there is a way to retain some of those old bushes, some of those old trees that are in good shape and can be maintained for that future landscaping, $I$ would encourage PRC to consider that.

I'm making sure $I$ hit all my points.
With the layout that you're proposing on installing along North Academy Street, from my perspective, it will be preferable to be located on the mill property, not on the side with the existing residents. But where ever that location is, please
consider lighting that will reduce as much as possible excess light pollution. So have the lights focused on the street, the pathways that must be lit, rather than have excess light go up and out where it's not needed.

And a final point, can we just call it the Mill at Bank Street? Can we go back to the original, what we all call it as residents around here? We just call it the mill property and having mill in the name will give it that sense of history, even though PRC may want to name some of the individual buildings after the mill or elements of the mill.

So with that, thank you for allowing me to have such an extended time interacting with the planning board, and providing comments back to the planning board and PRC. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you,
Christi. Next public comment.
MS. BELAN: Joe Cicalese?
MR. CICALESE: This is Joe Cicalese
at 136 Broad Street. I'm a councilman in

Hightstown, but I'm speaking tonight as a resident. Obviously I've been interested in this project since the beginning, since before the beginning, for as many years as we can remember that this has been going on.

I just want to say thank you to the board, to the professionals for your thorough insight for making sure that this is a sound project, and $I$ really think it is, I think we knocked it out of the park here.

I want to thank the applicant for their deep consideration to Hightstown's history. I mean every conversation that we've had about this so far, I can see that you get the town, you get the history of it, and the interest of the public in seeing this project through. So everything that you've touched on, $I$ really appreciate, the attention to detail and the responsiveness to everything that the board has brought up.

That said, $I$ just want to talk a little bit about the cycling and pedestrian
implements that we talked about. I deeply, deeply appreciate that kind of the consideration put into those things because we feel that the future of this town is going to be focused on walkability, on mixed-use, and the ability for people to walk down the street and do their business, see all the amenities in the area, spend a little at our local businesses. So $I$ just want to encourage the applicant to continue on that trail.

I don't know what kind of ability we have after the project is completed to ensure that those pieces are kept as a major part of the project, but $I$ hope that we do because Hightstown's future is going to be very walkable. We want to have people coming around the lake.

People see Hightstown as a destination today, and we want to encourage that all the more in the future and this project is the key linchpin in all of that.

So thank you everybody for your time, thank you for your thoroughness, and thank you for your consideration to those aspects.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you, Joe.
MS. BELAN: Gene Seraphin.
MR. SERAPHIN: First of all, it's for you Jolanta.

The attorney for the applicant said that they're in negotiations with the borough counsel. When did the planning board get to review such things as the number of bedrooms, the impact on schools, et cetera?

MS. MAZIARZ: Mr. Seraphin, those issues are not within the jurisdiction of the planning board, that's something that the borough counsel has to work out with the redeveloper, because the borough counsel is the redevelopment entity according to the redevelopment plan that $I$ have here in front of me.

So, the planning board looks at site considerations that have been submitted in this site plan for site plan approval. So, what you, as you heard, what the planning board has been considering is the layout of the site effectively, what it's going to
look like when it's built, how the parking is going to function, how the traffic is going to function, where the garages are going to be, how the refuse is going to be removed, and things of that nature.

The planning board doesn't get to opine on the matters that you are suggesting that the planning board opine on. That is a governing body matter. MR. SERAPHIN: Thank you. The second point is, what about solar panels or other things for energy reduction of the occupants? That's not part of your jurisdiction either? Asking the applicant to provide some sort of power?

MS. MAZIARZ: Well, if you would like to ask the applicant that question, you're certainly welcome to ask them.

MR. SERAPHIN: Okay, so that's a question $I$ have to ask the applicant in terms of providing solar panels or other things to make the project more green. I don't see any effort to make things green in that project right now.

Thank you, Fred.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: All right, thank you Gene.

Any other questions from the -- not questions, but public comment? Sandy, I don't see anybody else in the cue.

MS. BELAN: Nope, don't see anyone. Oh, here come some.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Oh, here come a couple. Okay.

MS. BELAN: Doug Albrecht, do you have some comments?

MR. ALBRECHT: Good evening, I've been through this a few times back in 2018 . My name is Doug Albrecht, I'm CCL vice president, corporate vice president of facilities engineering, environmental health and safety worldwide. And CCL has been in town since '83. I've been in town for 32 years, I'm familiar with the board of development of our company and the constant provider of jobs in the community, and what we're looking at is some comments.

We've already had some good
conversation with the applicant, $I$ think they're on board with everything, but I
just want to make sure that the paperwork I submitted with our issues is entered into the documents from this meeting, and that included the easement details that we had on record back in 2018 , which Carmela said she had in her notes, but $I$ didn't get a chance to see them, but, just have to be reassured that that easement and the funds, that were not paid for the efforts that we put forth, paid legal fees which we weren't reimbursed in 2018 are taken care of and then all the stipulations of security and the fencing and things they seem very cooperative about in our conversations. So I'm satisfied with that, that as long as the condition, that we resolve it in detail, and the signs and permitted agreement. We also have maintenance because they're interested in using our access for our company, which means they will have some utility use of the emergency vehicles and management.

So, some of the upkeep and management of the snow removal, to make sure it's clear for their emergencies, and their
portion of that has to be put down in a document so we can make sure we have proof of their insurance for being on the property, and also the use of it, upkeep of the gate and such like that, and those details are evident, and what $I$ believe even Jolanta has as far as us from CCL.

The other thing with the fencing around security, most of the neighbors have come to us because in my 32 years there, we've provided great comfort to our neighbors to try to have a cooperative company being a neighbor for an industrial company in the middle of the town. And to continue that, $I$ guess they came to me again and said what are we doing about security, they're very concerned.

So back in 2018 we addressed this in a requirement for fencing security for the residents, plus our boundaries for the project, and again, the applicant expressed that they will cooperate with that, and we have some discussions to do to make sure it's part of the compliance of the application.

The last issue has been covered by a number of people because again, we talked about it and $I$ want to make it a part of the record, is the JCP\&L feed of
underground power from the mechanic's high voltage lines which were installed for us in 1994 and then come down Mechanic Street, and then carry over behind the fire company, over the creek, and to a pole on our side, and then underground.

I'm familiar, being an engineer and working in 38 countries and doing safety engineering in $100 s$ of locations and flood areas all around the world, conduit needed to be reassured that we would be in good shape in case we had the rising waters that we've seen since the ' 90 s and Sandy and the rest. It has to be very waterproof to get underground penetration, and overflow penetration of the conduit.

So, it's a rather expensive conduit and we have to make sure the investment and the responsibility is on the developer to provide the correct conduits so we don't have business interrupted because that
would be a severe issue.
We're a pharmaceutical essential business, so during the pandemic our company has been very well globally providing things for the vaccines coming up and everything else, and the interruption of our business impacted a lot in our community.

So, we need that consideration as well and that planning they invited us to sit on is in compliance with an uninterrupted changeover and no loss of quality as Hights Electric is concerned with, as well.

So, to that vein $I$ think I've covered the things that we're mostly concerned with, but $I$ would like to make a couple of comments over safety concerns because it's part of my blood.

When we look back at the Mechanic Street underpass, where we have a break area or amenity area, park area for people to sit at picnic tables and be right along side that under-garage passageway which is going to entertain 413 vehicles, it's going to entertain the emergency response people
approaching the firehouse in an emergency event, hopefully they respect the idea of the cobblestone effect or something like that and calm down as they pass through there because the Greenway walkers will be coming through there. You have possible children in those small tables and things along that area.

Our experience, worldwide again with our shared space design in Paris and London and in many other places in Asia and everything else, has been very cautious, and we have an active global program that keeps monitoring that because there are fatalities, small children can be in that kind of play area, they'll wander out during a vehicle coming out with that much traffic, that's a lot of traffic on a little passway, and it could be a
horrendous outcome. So a few bollards may not be adequate.

James Constantine, I'm familiar with your work. I live on the border of Metuchen, New Jersey for over 45 years and the planning and things in that town were
very considered as well. The shared space community thing has had a number of vehicles passing in through the bollards that are spaced in the main plaza, and luckily it didn't result in any critical injuries. But it seems to be a common thing that can happen with a setup that's not done right and it may require more of a rail of some antiquity look or something like that, but something that provides a safe area for children and people walking with strollers and coming through that area, two-way traffic and $I$ don't know if the fire company is going to be using it for events as well. I heard that a while ago that they might have access to use it when they do other training things and stuff.

So, it's a lot of traffic and it's a condensed, dark area. During the day someone driving into a tunnel, $I$ think you're familiar with what lighting differential can mean for people's sight and vision. So, children are out there 3:00 on a summer afternoon and the ambient
light outside is reduced as they go through that tunnel, their vision for a few seconds has been delayed, and those few seconds is critical.

I've done traffic study work like I said, in multiple countries, Dave is on the call here as well with me, but we've witnessed design considerations to put safety in it and $I$ would really appreciate if that was looked at one more time as far as that.

Other than that, $I$ hope the flood plain stuff works out, the basin behind the townhouses looks like it can hold some water, but we do have high water in there. But if we design our building about 8 feet above the FEMA code stuff which includes not only the flood zone, but the second stage still water free board levels and under your crest, because when you have flowing water from Petty Lake coming down that creek, you actually have to deal with not just the still water under [INAUDIBLE], you have to look at the free board of current and the flow of the water which
happens and then the 100 -year crest which occurs.

There's a 5 foot diverted stream that enters into Rocky Brook right opposite where that parking garage is, which brings in millions of gallons per hour in a rain storm and you're gonna add to the volume of water, too.

I wish for the best, but $I$ know we're secure, but that will be it for me, for now. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Doug, thank you very much for your comments.

That's it for public comment? MS. BELAN: Wendy McDade? MS. MCDADE: Sure, it's Wendy McDade at 125 South Street in Hightstown. I just have three questions, comments. But, I just want to start by thanking everyone involved. It's been a long road and this reiteration of the plan is, $I$ think the most sympathetic to understanding Hightstown and what we [INAUDIBLE]. So I want to thank everyone for their hard work in getting it to this point.

My first comment is about the Willis House. I was happy to hear the great lengths that the applicant was going to go to to have the two mills properly
registered. I believe it was with, registered for historic purposes, preserved and restored, that's exactly the kind of thing we're interested in around here.

I also liked that they were saving the boiler caps to make a feature of in one of the amenity spaces. And just, I am hopeful, wishing to revisit the Willis House because that is another one of our historic assets. We don't have too many of them, there's a finite number and we lose one here, we lose one there, and the next thing you know, there's not too many left.

I understand it's been chopped and changed in the past. A lot of us that live in historic houses recognize that there's many phases to houses, but at least the original part of that house and possibly the first addition, $I$ appreciate wanting to save all the hardware and whatnot, but there's just nothing quite like saving that
original house, and $I$ would just ask for you to reconsider saving that house as best you can.

My second comment is on the renderings for the Broad Street view. The concrete section, $I$ think there's two of them that are above the walkways, or the driveways, they seem a bit modern. I think you know we're kind of going for industrial revolution, but those parts, to me, just look a little too modern.

I'm just wondering if there's anything you can do with the windows, treatment of the windows, or something that would make that seem, those two sections seem more in line with the other restored mill section.

And my last comment is, $I$ did want to reiterate, including the Hightstown Cultural Arts Commission, they're very involved in the community, and they have commissioned mural artists in the past, there's a mural downtown where they've commissioned a local artist, and they also worked with a group of local kids to paint two other murals that are around town, and

I just think that would be a great way to include the community in the creation of this next great phase of our town. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you Wendy
McDade. I don't see anyone else stepping forward, Sandy?

MS. BELAN: NO, I don't see anyone. CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: All right, I would like to go into, if that's the end of the public comment, I'll close the public comment and I'd like to go into discussion with our planning board members.

So, Sandy is there a way to --
MR. BOND: Hi, this is Jeffrey Bond,
I wasn't able to get on.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I could hear Mr.
Bond wants to speak.
MS. BELAN: Mr. Bond, I unmuted you, can you hear us?

MR. BOND: I just have a few comments and one question. I'd like to congratulate the $P R C$ and [INAUDIBLE] for bringing this project to Hightstown. He could have taken a project to any other
town, but he chose Hightstown and I think it's very commendable.

I'm glad one thing is that a consensus was reached not to put a whole lot of retail into this project, to steer everything towards the downtown and $I$ think that would be, whoever made that decision, I guess it was a collateral decision.

My only question is, and this may not be in the purview of the planning board application, but all these units will be rentals, am $I$ correct on that?

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Yes, I believe they are.

MR. BOND: Including the townhouses?
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Michael?
MR. FLOYD: The apartments will all be rentals, the townhouses may or may not be rentals.

MR. BOND: Okay, my question then is, obviously this town has a registration and inspections for all rental apartments within the town. I would assume that all the rentals in this project would be subject to that, both state and county
inspections?
MR. FLOYD: Yes, they would comply with any applicable state or local requirements.

MR. BOND: Okay, that's it, thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you. I guess, Sandy, is there a way that we could just have the board members be participants?

COUNCILMAN MISIURA: Fred, can you hear me?

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I can.
COUNCILMAN MISIURA: Yeah, I think we can individually unmute ourselves if we want to speak then, and we can mute ourselves when our turns are up.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Do all the board members have that capability?

MS. BELAN: Yes, they should.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: John
Laudenberger?
MR. LAUDENBERGER: I do, yes.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Beth Watkins? I think Beth may be the only one. Is Ray

Cabot on?
MR. CABOT: Yeah, I'm here, I can do it.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Mayor Quattrone?
Maybe Larry cannot.
So, sandy is there a way to put them as a host? For Larry and for Beth? MS. BELAN: Okay, I did Beth.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Beth, are you there? Beth are you able to unmute yourself? Mayor Quattrone, can you unmute yourself? All right, so, Sandy if you can unmute, can you just unmute a number?

MS. BELAN: I can go through and try to unmute them.

Joe Balcewicz, can you hear us?
MR. BALCEWICZ: Yes, I can hear you.
MS. BELAN: Beth, can you hear us? MS. WATKINS: Yes, can you hear me now?

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Yes, we can.
MS. BELAN: Beverly, can you hear us?
VICE CHAIRWOMAN ASSELSTINE: Yeah, I can hear you. Can you hear me?

MS. BELAN: Yes. Ray Cabot?

MR. CABOT: Yeah.
MS. BELAN: Bill Searing, can you
hear us?
MR. SEARING: Yes, I can hear you.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: So we just need the mayor.

MS. BELAN: Mayor, can you hear us?
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Let's have a
discussion. I'll open up our meeting now for discussion pertaining to the PRC application 2020-01.

I'd like to start off with a motion, if $I$ can get a motion from the board and then we'll have a discussion. But my motion would be to vote to pass this minor subdivision and preliminary and final major site plan and then we can have a discussion.

COUNCILMAN MISIURA: Fred, I would make that motion or second it, if you made the motion.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I just suggested the motion, $I$ 'll have you be the first to you know, and then I'll look for a second from one of our board members.

MR. CABOT: I'll second it.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Okay, I'll take that second from Ray Cabot.

So, let's have a discussion pertaining to this application, and $I$ would also like to talk about some of the items that the public comment made, just on a couple of the things pertaining to the application. So, let's start this discussion, who would like to weigh in first?

COUNCILMAN MISIURA: Fred, I'll be glad to since $I$ made the motion.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you,
Steve.
COUNCILMAN MISIURA: I'd like to
start by thanking PRC for such a well done presentation in spite of all the technical glitches, but that's the world we live in. You know, the borough had to find a platform that was open that people could call into or they can also access from the computer, so we realized this wasn't the best, the smoothest operating system, but I think we muddled through it and I'd like to thank the Chair for being able to kind of,

I guess, muddle through it and for everyone's patience with this and for Sandy, in scrambling to get everybody heard.

I do support this application. Some of the things that $I$ didn't know that they were doing, such as the SHPO and the great lengths to preserve the mill buildings, you know, this means not only for this project but forever they'll be listed, and that was really a key to this whole project. These are whole dilapidated structures, the site was a mess, the site's contaminated, it's urban blight. It would have been very easy for someone to come in and demolish the site, it probably would have been easier to develop it that way, but we found a developer that is taking the historic aspect of this seriously.

And I guess there's some controversy over the name but you know, I'm okay with it, maybe it should be the Bank Street Mill Buildings or something. A couple of things that were brought up, $I$ guess first with the traffic. I think that discussion, $I$
didn't think it had to be as contentious as it was. I think we're all in agreement that traffic from this project will have an impact on the borough. How much, and whether that's really going to be negligible in the long run, you know, there's gonna be traffic. And I think the fact that we're all in agreement that traffic lights wouldn't be a good idea even though the study calls for them, or would warrant them, $I$ guess it warrants them but not necessarily says that it should be there, but $I$ think it's fair.

It's PRC's benefit that we're not asking for the traffic light, so $I$ would ask that they work cooperatively with us and work as partners because pedestrian safety and things like that are a big concern to us. You'll have 5 to 600 new pedestrians coming out of this project, so I just ask that it be a mutually cooperative working relationship, how to address those intersections. And we have a complete streets committee that currently has two active
grants looking at these types of things, so I think we'll have a lot of good information coming out of those and I look forward to working with PRC on those.

One specific thing $I$ think should be considered and it was brought up by a few people, our engineer, and that is the Mechanic Street and the Greenway access -well, first of all $I$ think every effort should be made to extend the Greenway even if it exits by the, has another exit by the pool, but if we are going to have access along Mechanic Street, even though I understand the whole concept of the street, where you kind of blur the lines between pedestrian and vehicular traffic, $I$ am a little concerned that in an emergency, somebody responding to a fire call may be rushing through there.

So, I think every effort should be made to see if we can put the walkway on the opposite side and see how that can be worked out.

So, I'll look through my notes and I'll let somebody else speak and maybe come
back if $I$ find something else $I$ want to talk about, but $I$ do support this project and $I$ would like to see this approved tonight.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Very good,
Steve, thank you. Next board member for comment?

MR. BALCEWICZ: This is Joe, I have just have a couple of comments.

I would support the application, I'm just disappointed that we don't have all the information that I'd like to see to evaluate. The one thing that's sticking in my mind, is all these pretty renderings that we see, as I mentioned on Monday, don't show any telephone poles, or power lines, and yet $I$ know that's still being negotiated with the power company, but gee, it's not going to look as pretty.

The final construction is not going to look as pretty as the drawings look, and that's somewhat of a disappointment. I'd like see what it's really going to look like when it's completed. So that's the thing that's sticking in my mind.

And the question for Jolanta, who gets to vote on this motion? I think the whole board is here, including the two alternates, and in the past when that's happened, you've excluded the alternates, is that the case here as well?

MS. MAZIARZ: Well, I believe that Joanna Jackson is recused so we will have to add alternate number 1 into the vote because she's not here, we need nine people.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: We do have 9 tonight, because we also have a vacant seat.

MR. BALCEWICZ: Great.
MS. MAZIARZ: Okay, that's fine.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: So, Joe and Ray will be voting tonight.

MS. MAZIARZ: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you, Joe. Next up, I'll ask Ray Cabot for your input, please.

MR. CABOT: Sure, I've been in Hightstown for 35 years and this is the closest we've ever been to something that
would really work in this part of town.
So, I wholeheartedly support it, I
think PRC has done a great job with demonstrating willingness to work with their neighbors, with our people, our professionals, to take into account the town.

I'm on the HVC and $I$ wish we could save the Willis House, but I really do think that their attempt to recreate it is probably in some ways better than them trying to save it, given the condition it's in, and $I$ think even if it doesn't look exactly as the images are, it's gonna make that part of town a whole lot better, and make the whole town a whole lot better, and I think they demonstrated a willingness to be a good partner with the town going forward. And we can resolve some of the uncertainties going forward and it will be better for everyone, so $I$ support it.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you, Ray. I'll ask Bill Searing for his comments. MR. SEARING: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I really am quite pleased with the plans that
the PRC group put together for this project and $I$ see many renderings of this development and this is the nicest I've seen. So, I'm really pleased with it moving forward, the town needs it, and $I$ am cautious about the traffic circulation. I know we spoke to it at great lengths with the traffic engineers, but $I$ would hope counsel would really pay a lot of attention to the traffic circulation as it goes through the developer's agreement.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you, Bill. Okay, I'll ask Johnny Laudenberger. MR. LAUDENBERGER: I have nothing to add right now.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: What's your thoughts on the project, John?

MR. LAUDENBERGER: I think it looks great. I'm not crazy about the name, but you know, their marketing group can figure it out somehow, so they need to sell it I guess, let them figure that out.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Okay, very good, John.

Our newest board member, Beth Watkins,

Beth, your thoughts.
MS. WATKINS: I am also in support of this project. I am disappointed about the new yellow house, that that wouldn't be a more prominent feature. In my question, I was kind of hoping they had considered moving it $u p$ and moving the townouses perhaps behind or perpendicular to it, but other than that -- and traffic, of course, is a concern, especially being a pedestrian in that area.

But I am very excited, being a
lifelong Hightstown resident, that something is finally, you know, that this is making some headway. I've watched plans pretty much throughout my lifetime of things coming and going there, so I'm excited that it seems like something is actually going to happen. And I'm definitely in support of this.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: The mayor did text me and he's still muted, and from what we can see, Sandy, it says unmuted. So should $I$ have the mayor call in?

MS. BELAN: Yeah, I tried to unmute
it.
MS. WATKINS: He's on a phone so you might have to do the phone number like you did for me.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I'm just texting the mayor to see if he is on his phone. I think just the mayor and $I$ need to make our comments.

VICE CHAIRWOMAN ASSELSTINE: Can I make mine?

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I'm sorry, Beverly, go right ahead.

VICE CHAIRWOMAN ASSELSTINE: That's all right, $I$ don't have a lot to add.

I agree with a lot of what everyone else has said. I've lived in Hightstown for 29 years, I've seen all variations for the plans for this site as well and I agree that this is the best of all of the attempts to redevelopment this site.

I also think that having gone to the last two, that the preparation and the thought that went into preparing for all of this presentation and the thought going into the plan made this significantly
smoother process than what we saw the last time. So, I'm very optimistic, and I think it's going to be a great addition to the town and $I$ support it.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you,
Beverly. So, Sandy, can you unmute the phone number that says Pleasantville, New Jersey, it's the 548. Mayor are you there?

As we wait for the mayor to come on, $I$ will just make my comments, of course.

I want to thank PRC, of course,
Michael, thank you for your patience.
Three nights in a row, it's like going to a rock concert for three nights in a row, a lot of glitches. I think it really went very smoothly.

Like Steve Misiura said, working with historic preservation, SHPO, that was a pleasant surprise, and $I$ think that just hit it out of the ballpark for me. I know the Willis House was in everybody's minds and $I$ know the structure well. I've walked through the house, $I$ know the shape of it, and it would take a miracle to bring that one back. So I think what PRC is doing
with it is exceptional.
I would just like to say a couple of things that the public might have said with the grounds surrounding that property, hopefully we're able to save a lot of those species of trees and plants because $I$ know that was really a, folks would come from all around just to see the plantings along the Rocky Brook. So whatever PRC could do to preserve some of that would definitely enhance, keep the property the way it was laid out to be.

As someone also said, saving the hardwood out of the building which you guys, was in testimony either on Monday or Tuesday, it was a great idea, and PRC working with Historic Preservation is another one that we really do appreciate it because these commissions, they're volunteers, and they really put their heart and soul into it, so.

And we totally appreciate you working with the Cultural Arts Commission on some of these items. Again, volunteer folks and whatever input they can work with you on,
that's always definitely a plus. We appreciate you working with our commissioner, of course, on this project.

I believe you are engaged with CCL on some things and once the project does start, you're mindful and communicate with them during the whole construction phase.

I think as you know, and $I$ don't need to you know, keep on talking about this, but $I$ think the traffic study, and the traffic calming, and working with our professionals, and our professionals working with yours, would really make a difference on the two sides going out to Stockton Street and going out to North Main Street. I think that's an important part, safety-wise, of the project.

And we totally do appreciate working with getting a shuttle service to Princeton Junction, and also to the buses for new folks coming in, and even people that live in the area is definitely a total plus. So, kudos all around to PRC for really working this through and giving us a fantastic product. Of course, a bunch of
us have been on planning boards longer than we ever thought we would be, so when we go through one applicant and then another applicant, and then two more before you, it's like, you know, as I said, it's a home run and we totally do appreciate your effort and your group's effort in making this a fantastic project. And we're gonna really, we're excited for it and we look forward to it. So, I thank you.

I don't know, is the mayor on now? MAYOR QUATTRONE: I'm trying, I don't know if you hear me or not. CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: We can hear you, Mayor.

MAYOR QUATTRONE: Well, I apologize for the technical problem $I$ had on this end, but I've called in about a dozen times already.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: You worked through it, so you're fine, go ahead. MAYOR QUATTRONE: I'd like to thank PRC for all their hard work, and working with the public. I think it's the greatest thing that happened to Hightstown.

I'm amazed that it happened under my watch, and $I$ hope $I$ can see the bricks fall, and the shovels go in the ground.

But, once again, $I$ have to thank the council, the borough, the planning board, the volunteers. I mean, this is something that $I$ had said two or three years ago that it was going to be a long, hard process, and having three planning board meetings in a row is unbelievable.

But again, $I$ want to thank everybody, our professionals, their professionals, and I wish them the best of luck with all of it. If they need me for anything, please give me a call, and $I$ thank you again. CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Stay on the line, Mayor, because we're gonna do a vote. MAYOR QUATTRONE: I will. CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: So, there is a motion to approve. Jolanta, and our professionals will work through with some of the conditions. Is that correct, Jolanta?

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes, would you like me to go through them quickly right now?

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Of course, yes.
MS. MAZIARZ: Okay, the first condition from Monday night was that the applicant would obtain an access easement as a condition of approval.

There was a mistake on the plan with regard to the rooftop amenities, they do not extent beyond the 4 th floor, the mistake will be corrected.

The fire marshal's report will be complied with, for example, connecting the centralized panels, knox boxes, installing knox boxes, et cetera.

Street parking on Academy and Grant, the parking is still subject to fine tuning, as was testified to by the applicant's professionals. As a condition of approval, the applicant's professionals will work with our borough engineer in order to fine-tune that parking.

The developer will provide recycling removal as well as onsite street lighting and snowplowing, and for that, the borough will not provide reimbursement. The applicant will be required to work out a
snow removal plan which will comply with the Department of Environmental Protection regulations.

The Greenway is proposed to be relocated from it's current location on the plans, and that will also, the applicant professionals will also work with the borough engineer to her satisfaction to relocate it.

The lighting on North Academy, the applicant conceded that the heights of the proposed lights on the west side would be lower and moved to the east side of the road and will work also, today, conceded to work with the planner and engineer to relocate these lights.

With regard to comment number 18 in Carmela's report, the applicant agreed to perform a traffic study and a post-development study in order to take appropriate corrective action if that is required, and that will be worked out with Carmela.

The applicant will also pay for the water/sewer connection fees and any
performance guarantees, and all of those conditions will also be subject to a redeveloper's agreement with the borough, which the redeveloper has agreed to enter into.

With regard to how many spaces are in the garage, the applicant's professional testified there will be 30 , although the plan says 20 , the plan will be resolved and corrected.

With regard to vehicle charging stations in the Tract $B$ garage, they will meet all standards for all of the tracts. All mechanical equipment will be screened from public view from outside the project.

The applicant also agreed to work with the borough planner in order to disguise or better screen the retaining walls from the public view. No chain link fencing will be installed. All fencing will comply with redevelopment plan criteria.

And the applicant agreed to comply with the recommendations made in both of the borough professional's report subject to what was agreed to on the record before
the board.
And I will go through the hearing again, $I$ will go through the hearing either transcript or the recording, even though the recording is a little difficult, or was difficult for me to hear. I will also confer with the borough's professionals, as well as the applicant's attorney in order to come up with a list of conditions that the board will review before adopting this resolution.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Very good. All right, without further ado or whatever $I$ will say, it's getting late. We have a motion, we have a second. Sandy, can we have a roll call vote, please?

MS. BELAN: Mr. Montferrat?
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Yes.
MS. BELAN: Mayor Quattrone?
MAYOR QUATTRONE: Yes.
MS. BELAN: Councilman Misiura?
COUNCILMAN MISIURA: Yes.
MS. BELAN: Ms. Asselstine?
VICE CHAIRWOMAN ASSELSTINE: Yes.
MS. BELAN: Mr. Searing? Mr.

Searing?
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I don't see Bill on. Let me text Bill real quick and see if he can sign on.

MS. BELAN: Mr. Laudenberger?
MR. LAUDENBERGER: Yes.
MS. BELAN: Mr. Balcewicz?
MR. BALCEWICZ: Yes.
MS. BELAN: Mr. Cabot?
MR. CABOT: Yes.
MS. BELAN: Ms. Watkins?
MS. WATKINS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I just texted him.

So, that was 8 votes.
MS. MAZIARZ: Mr. Searing just
joined.
MS. BELAN: Mr. Searing, we're voting.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: I'm gonna unmute him. Bill, you're on.

MR. SEARING: Yes, sorry, Bill Searing.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Yes, Bill, we're taking the vote.

MR. SEARING: Yes, I apologize my battery went dead.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: That's all
right, everybody's muddling through this so you're good. Your vote again?

MR. SEARING: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you, Bill.
MS. BELAN: 9 affirmatives.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Okay, very good.
Congratulations Mike and PRC.
MR. FLOYD: Mr. Chairman, members of the board, all the board's professionals, thank you very much for all three nights for your patience and comments and questions. We really appreciate it, and look forward to working with you on the project.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Same here, Michael, we do, too.

MR. FLOYD: Have a good evening.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Okay, agenda-wise, we have no other new business or old business so congratulations to everybody for this historic moment. I think, $I$ know counsel has been working on
this forever along with us. So, very happy tonight without a doubt. With that said, we'll have a motion to adjourn.

MR. LAUDENBERGER: Motion to adjourn.

CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Thank you, John.
Second?
VICE CHAIRWOMAN ASSELSTINE: Second.
CHAIRMAN MONTFERRAT: Second by
Beverly. All in favor.
BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
(Hearing was adjourned at 11:02 p.m.)
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