

OPEN SESSION

Mayor Robert Patten called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. and read the Open Public Meetings Act statement which stated that adequate notice and posting of the meeting had taken place in accordance with the requirements of P.L. 1975, Chapter 231.

The flag salute was led by Councilmember Patrick Thompson, and was followed by the roll call.

ROLL CALL

	PRESENT	ABSENT
<i>Mayor Patten</i>	✓	
<i>Councilmember Harinxma</i>		✓
<i>Councilmember Quattrone</i>	✓	
<i>Councilmember Rosenberg</i>	✓	
<i>Council President Schneider</i>	✓	
<i>Councilmember Sikorski</i>	✓	
<i>Councilmember Thompson</i>	✓	

Also in attendance: Candace Gallagher, Borough Clerk/Administrator; Edward J. McManimon, Esq., Bond Counsel; Frederick Raffetto, Esq., Borough Attorney; and, at 7:00 p.m., Carmela Roberts, Borough Engineer and James M. Eufemia, Chief of Police.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Added to the agenda was Resolution 2006-69, a resolution of support for a mini-grant application, and a discussion under New Business regarding budget meeting dates. The agenda was moved as amended by Councilmember Sikorski, seconded by Council President Schneider and approved by all.

EXECUTIVE SESSION I

The Borough Clerk read aloud Resolution 2006-60, authorizing a closed session for the purpose of discussing contract negotiations, litigation and potential litigation. The Resolution was moved by Councilmember Sikorski and seconded by Councilmember Thompson.

Roll call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Resolution adopted, 5-0-1.

RESOLUTION 2006-60 AUTHORIZING A MEETING WHICH EXCLUDES THE PUBLIC

BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Hightstown that this body will hold a meeting on February 21, 2006 at approximately 6:05 p.m. at Borough Hall that will be limited only to consideration of an item or items with respect to which the public may be excluded pursuant to section 7b of the Open Public Meetings Act.

The general nature of the subject or subjects to be discussed:

**Contract Negotiations – Potential Redevelopment of Mill property
Potential Litigation
Litigation – Pascucci v. Borough of Hightstown**

Stated as precisely as presently possible the following is the time when and the circumstances under which the discussion conducted at said meeting can be disclosed to the public: May 21, 2006, or when the need for confidentiality no longer exists.

The public is excluded from said meeting, and further notice is dispensed with, all in accordance with sections 8 and 4a of the Open Public Meetings Act.

The meeting reconvened into open session at 7:10 p.m.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Minutes of the February 6, 2006 open and closed sessions were moved by Councilmember Sikorski, seconded by Council President Schneider and approved by all except Councilmember Quattrone, who abstained.

PROCLAMATION

Mayor Patten read aloud and presented a proclamation to Captain Scott Jenkins, 2005 Firefighter of the Year. Captain Jenkins, he said, sets a "fine example for members of the Company."

Fire Chief John Archer was present, and presented to Capt. Jenkins a plaque in his honor from the Knights of Columbus, who were unable to be present at this meeting.

2005 Firefighter of the Year – Captain Scott Jenkins

Whereas, Captain Scott Jenkins *is performing a valuable service to the residents of Hightstown by serving as a devoted, reliable and hard-working volunteer member of Hightstown Engine Company No. 1; and*

Whereas, Captain Jenkins *joined Hightstown Engine Company No. 1 in 1994, and is beginning his 12th year of membership; and*

Whereas, he *was responsible for several new members joining the Company's ranks during the time that he served on the membership committee; and*

Whereas, Captain Jenkins *demonstrates strong leadership both on and off the fire grounds; and*

Whereas, his *ability to answer daytime calls has been a great asset to Hightstown Engine Co. No. 1; and*

Whereas, Captain Jenkins *has consistently and professionally performed all tasks requested or required of him and more, and has been chosen as "2005 Firefighter of the Year";*

Now, Therefore, Be It Proclaimed *by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Hightstown that 2005 Firefighter of the Year, Captain Scott Jenkins, be recognized, thanked and commended for his bravery, commitment and dedicated service to the Borough of Hightstown and the surrounding community.*

PUBLIC COMMENT I

Mayor Patten opened the floor for public comment.

Eugene Sarafin, 600-628 South Main Street, criticized President Bush and his administration, and questioned why the six Democratic members of the Borough Council “don’t want to stand up and say ‘Impeach him!’”

Torry Watkins, 68 Meadow Drive, stated that he is “unhappy that Council is still heading toward buying two garbage trucks and hiring laborers in Public Works,” which, he said, “is the wrong road to go down.” He added that, if it turned out that returning to in-house collection would be cost effective, he would support it, but he is concerned that “foxes are guarding the hen house.” The Solid Waste Committee, he said, is composed of “Borough insiders and staff, some of whom do not live in town.” He urged that Council have “an objective person do a cost benefit study of continuing private collection vs. going in house.”

No one else came forward and the floor was closed.

REDEVELOPMENT ISSUES

THE SOCKLER REPORT

Consultant Peter Sockler presented to Council the results of the market study and analysis that he was commissioned in August to perform.¹ Some findings, he said, were presented in October. His first charge in the second phase of the study, he said, was to examine the September 7, 2004 Redevelopment Plan adopted by the Borough to determine if it was financially feasible. The approved plan calls for 80 residential units, including 72 condos and 8 duplexes, 10,000 square feet of retail space, 41,000 square feet of office space, and 60,000 square feet of commercial/retail/municipal space. Mr. Sockler’s report also examined the feasibility of two additional scenarios: the proposal as presented in January by Greystone and Satish Mehta, and the same plan to include 18 COAH units rather than 10.

He reviewed the methodology used in determining hard construction costs, site improvement and other costs, and noted that local costs have been volatile recently. His analysis included a \$1,000,000 cost figure for environmental remediation, based on a plan that he understands was approved within the past week. He said that received that information just that day, and adjusted the cost figure, which previously had been factored in at \$300,000.

Soft costs included engineering, architectural and legal fees, a 10% construction contingency, marketing and sales costs, a recreation plan contribution in the range of \$40,000, real estate taxes, and construction interest and fees. \$3.4 million was included as the cost of property acquisition, which would be Greystone’s actual cost.

“The Redevelopment Plan as proposed,” Mr. Sockler stated, “is very difficult to make profitable based on what I see as typical accepted costs. I don’t think it really works.” Mr. Sockler’s study examined three scenarios, with the following results:

Plan analyzed	# total units	# COAH units	Total Cost	Total Revenues	Net gain/(loss)
Borough Redevelopment Plan	80	15	\$27,356,724	\$26,683,200	(\$673,524)
Redeveloper Plan as presented	98	10	\$30,202,884	\$31,923,200	\$1,720,316
Redeveloper Plan w/ 18 COAH units	98	18	\$30,202,884	\$30,595,200	\$392,216

¹ A full copy of the report presented by Mr. Sockler will be included at the end of these 2/21/06 minutes.

Councilmember Thompson asked Mr. Sockler if he feels confident in the sales prices he used in his analysis (\$245,000 for condominiums, \$79,000 for COAH units, \$350,000 for duplex units, \$150/sq. ft for office space and \$200/sq. ft. for retail and restaurant space). "Yes," he replied, noting that they analyzed sales from condominium complexes here and in surrounding areas. Mr. Thompson recalled that Mr. Mehta stated in his recent presentation that condo units would sell for \$290,000, and he asked Mr. Sockler if that was "overambitious." Mr. Sockler explained that Mr. Mehta was basing that sales on a larger unit, and including value options. "\$15,000 of the \$290,000," Mr. Sockler said, "is options." Mr. Thompson noted that if Mr. Mehta's sales prices are used instead of Mr. Sockler's, it "dramatically changes the profitability of the project." Mr. Sockler said that "there are probably a thousand different permutations, but given what we found in the market, what we put in here seems to be the most reasonable." He added that the analysis is based on today's market. A year from now, things could be different.

Mayor Patten asked Mr. Sockler to state his qualifications. He replied that he is a State-licensed real estate appraiser, a member of the Appraisal Institute, a Counselor of Real Estate (which, he explained, is an invitational designation for those who have shown consulting expertise), and has been in the business for 20 years. He also does some construction, and is "generally very busy in a multi-disciplined real estate firm." Mr. Raffetto asked him about his familiarity with Hightstown. "I live here," Mr. Sockler replied. "I've lived in the area for about 18 years." He has served on the Borough's Planning Board and has done other work for the Borough in the past related to tax appeal and litigation. He has offices in Ewing and in Wayne, PA. and works with a wide spectrum of clients, including municipal and county governments, banks and developers. "At one time or another," he said, "I've represented every town in Mercer County except two," and many in Middlesex, Burlington, and Gloucester counties as well.

Councilmember Thompson again asked about the difference in sales prices as presented by Mr. Sockler and by Satish Mehta. "It's apples and oranges," Mr. Sockler said. "He is talking about a larger unit with some options included. We are modeling a base unit price." He noted that options have to be offset with added costs. "Sometimes the cost is equal to what the developer sells it for," he said. "Sometimes they make a decent profit, and sometimes they lose."

Councilmember Sikorski noted that Greystone's cost of acquisition would be \$3,400,000, and that they have spent hundreds of thousands in "soft costs" to date on this project, including about \$194,000 to Borough professionals alone. "Would this make the cost of the land to 'Developer X' even higher?" he asked. Mr. Sockler replied, "If you look at this based on current market trends and equivalent sales, yes, the owner would try to get the highest market value on the sale. Someone would have to buy their due diligence."

Council President Schneider asked how specific the figures used by Mr. Sockler are to this site. Costs, he replied, are based on cost manuals, comparable costs, etc., while revenues are based on the Hightstown market and what comparable units are selling for in this area. "Hightstown, from a sale economics standpoint, is very similar if not identical to East Windsor," he said. "Some slight dynamics change, but residential pricing is driven by school districts."

Councilmember Thompson asked what would be considered a reasonable profit for a developer. "The rule of thumb," Mr. Sockler replied, "is 20 to 30 percent of a project." Councilmember Thompson noted that, according to Mr. Sockler's analysis, Greystone would not be making that much. "As we said, this is a static look," Mr. Sockler said. "A developer *could* make money on options, or could lose. They could be banking on prices to go up over the holding period, but if the market softens, prices may go down. In anything like this, with \$30 million in costs, there is a lot of risk, and a lot of moving parts."

Council President Schneider asked how a developer's profit would compare to the rate of return one would expect from investment in, for example, a Certificate of Deposit. Mr. Sockler stated that CDs do not carry this type of risk.

Mayor Patten asked Mr. Sockler why the third scenario included more COAH units than what had been included in Mr. Mehta's January proposal. He replied that the commercial component of the project requires one affordable unit for every 8,333 square feet of commercial space, which would create a larger obligation.

The Mayor thanked Mr. Sockler for "a thorough analysis and an excellent report."

ENGINEER'S ITEMS

RESOLUTION 2006-62, AUTHORIZING REDUCTION IN PERFORMANCE GUARANTEE – COOLIDGE ELECTRIC

Ms. Roberts reviewed the provisions of **Resolution 2006-62**, noting that her recommendation to retain 30% of the total bond is consistent with prior recommendations she has made. The Resolution was moved by Councilmember Quattrone and seconded by Councilmember Sikorski.

Roll Call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Resolution adopted, 5-0-1.

RESOLUTION 2006-62 AUTHORIZING REDUCTION IN PERFORMANCE GUARANTEE – COOLIDGE ELECTRIC (CRANBURY STATION ROAD)

WHEREAS in May 2002, Coolidge Electric posted a performance guarantee in the amount of \$143,386.20 relative to their Planning Board application for work on Cranbury Station Road (R&R File No. HPB0128); and

WHEREAS Coolidge Electric has requested a reduction in the amount of the posted guarantee;

WHEREAS the Borough Engineer has inspected the improvements and has determined that it would be appropriate for the Borough to release all but \$43,015.86 of the posted bond at this time, as follows:

	Amount of original bond	Amount to be released	Amount to be retained by Borough
Bond portion	\$129,047.58	\$90,333.31	\$38,714.27
Cash portion	\$14,338.62	\$10,037.03	\$4,301.59
TOTAL	\$143,386.20	\$100,370.34	\$43,015.86

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Hightstown as follows:

1. The Treasurer is hereby authorized and directed to release to Coolidge Electric the amount of \$10,037.03, representing a portion of the cash portion of their performance guarantee as detailed herein.
2. The Borough Clerk is authorized and directed to take all necessary steps to reduce the balance of the bond portion of the performance bond held on behalf of Coolidge Electric (Gulf Insurance Co., Bond #AE948521) to \$90,333.31, or to effect the replacement of said bond with a new bond in the reduced amount.
3. The balance remaining of \$43,015.86 shall be retained by the Borough as the performance guarantee for this project until the Borough Engineer recommends its release and approved by further Resolution of Council.

RESOLUTION 2006-63, AUTHORIZING RECEIPT OF BIDS

Following Ms. Roberts' review of **Resolution 2006-63**, that Resolution was moved by Councilmember Quattrone and seconded by Councilmember Thompson.

Roll Call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Resolution adopted, 5-0-1.

RESOLUTION 2006-63 AUTHORIZING RECEIPT OF BIDS

BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Hightstown that the Borough Engineer and/or Borough Administrator is/are hereby authorized to prepare specifications and advertise for bids for the following project(s):

Greenways, Phase II
Reconstruction of Cole Avenue and Clinton Street
Purchase of Septage Acceptance Unit
Purchase of Algae Sweep System
Purchase of various chemicals used at the Advanced Wastewater Treatment Plant and/or Water Plant
Purchase of Loader with Backhoe
Purchase of Garbage Trucks

and that the Borough Clerk is authorized to receive same following proper advertisement.

ORDINANCES

PUBLIC HEARING AND FINAL READING: ORDINANCE 2006-3,

AN ORDINANCE PROHIBITING PARKING ALONG A PORTION OF ROGERS AVENUE IN THE
BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN, AND AMENDING SECTION 7-13.1 OF THE REVISED GENERAL
ORDINANCES OF THE BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN ACCORDINGLY

Ms. Gallagher reviewed the provisions of Ordinance 2006-03, as amended and reintroduced at the last meeting. The Ordinance would prohibit parking on Rogers Avenue between Stockton Street and Railroad Avenue from Monday through Saturday. Currently, parking is prohibited in that area between 8 a.m. and 6 p.m. every day. The ordinance will also correct an error in our existing Code.

Mayor Patten opened the public hearing on Ordinance 2006-03 (as reintroduced).

Chris Moraitis, 237 Rogers Avenue, stated that prohibiting parking would promote an increase in the volume and speed of traffic on Rogers Avenue, leading to a decrease in pedestrian safety. This, he said, is supported by "studies by DOT and a think tank in southern New Jersey." He suggested that better alternatives exist to deal with the safety issue there, such as enforcing a State law prohibiting parking within 50 feet of a stop sign and posting signs to that effect. Under the current ordinance, he said, parking is allowed during the evening. "It doesn't make sense," he said, "to allow parking at night and not during the day, when there is higher pedestrian use, especially on weekends." He asked Council to "reconsider how best to deal with the Chief's determination" and to consider the combined impact of prohibiting parking and the added traffic from surrounding communities.

Eugene Sarafin, 600-628 South Main Street, expressed support for the Ordinance, and said, "we should not use parked cars as a way to slow traffic." The parked cars do create a dangerous situation, he said, and a liability issue for the Borough if nothing is done about it. "After 20 years," he said, "it is time to pass this Ordinance based on the Chief's recommendation."

Torry Watkins, 68 Meadow Drive, said that "this and prior Councils have been told by numerous planners that there is no more effective traffic calming device than parked cars on a street." That was built into the downtown revitalization, he

said, and has been used successfully elsewhere. He said that he uses Rogers Avenue frequently, and does not find it inconvenient or dangerous to weave around the cars parked there. "People tearing down the street is a frightening idea," he said. "Defeat this ordinance."

Mike Vanderbeck, 344 Stockton Street, and owner of the Slowdown Café at 110 Mercer Street, asked Council to take "a more holistic view" of the traffic situation. "The stated goal of the Revitalization," he said, "was to 'create and maintain a pedestrian-friendly town for living and commerce.'" Traffic is fluid, he said, and will find the path of least resistance. Street parking is a traffic-calming technique, he said, and "this ordinance does not fulfill the long term vision of a pedestrian-friendly town." He urged Council to vote against the Ordinance.

Dylan Ross, 126 Morrison Avenue, stated that good arguments have been made and he would like to see this Ordinance defeated.

No one else came forward and the floor was closed.

Councilmember Quattrone said that, after listening to the comments at this meeting and speaking earlier with Chief Eufemia, he would like to see action on this Ordinance postponed to the March 6 meeting. This was a motion that was seconded by Councilmember Thompson, and a roll call vote was taken.

Roll Call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Motion carried (ordinance postponed), 5-0-1.

PUBLIC HEARING AND FINAL READING: ORDINANCE 2006-05,

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN TO ACCEPT THE RIGHT-OF-WAY DEDICATION OF CRANBURY STATION ROAD, AND ASCERTAINING, ESTABLISHING AND LAYING OUT THE BOUNDARIES OF SAID RIGHT-OF-WAY AREA WITHIN THE BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN, MERCER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY

Following a brief review by Mr. Raffetto, Mayor Patten opened the public hearing on Ordinance 2006-05. No one came forward and the hearing was closed.

Ordinance 2006-05 was moved for adoption by Councilmember Quattrone and seconded by Councilmember Sikorski.

Roll Call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Ordinance adopted, 5-0.

ORDINANCE 2006-05 **AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN TO ACCEPT THE RIGHT-OF-WAY DEDICATION OF CRANBURY STATION ROAD, AND ASCERTAINING, ESTABLISHING AND LAYING OUT THE BOUNDARIES OF SAID RIGHT-OF-WAY AREA WITHIN THE BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN, MERCER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY**

WHEREAS, in connection with the construction of a residential development to be known as the "Enchantment at Hightstown," the Borough of Hightstown wishes to accept the Right-of-Way dedication of Cranbury Station Road, and to ascertain, establish and lay out the boundaries of said Right-of-Way area within the Borough; and

WHEREAS, the area of Cranbury Station Road to be accepted by the Borough measures approximately 47,740.0 square feet and is more particularly described in a legal description prepared by Maser Consulting, P.A., dated January 18, 2005, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and made a part hereof; and

WHEREAS, the area to be accepted is more particularly depicted on a Right-of-Way Dedication Plan prepared by Maser Consulting, P.A., dated January 14, 2005, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and made a part hereof; and

WHEREAS, a municipality may accept the dedication of real property, including a Right-of-Way area, by Ordinance pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12-1, et seq., and N.J.S.A. 40:67-1, et seq.; and

WHEREAS, the Borough Council believes that it is in the best interests of the Borough of Hightstown to proceed with the matter referenced above.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE AND IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED, by the Borough Council of the Borough of Hightstown, in the County of Mercer and State of New Jersey, as follows:

1. That, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12-1, et seq., and N.J.S.A. 40:67-1, et seq., the Borough of Hightstown hereby accepts the Right-of-Way dedication of Cranbury Station Road, and hereby ascertains, establishes and lays out the boundaries of said Right-of-Way area within the Borough of Hightstown, as more particularly described in a legal description prepared by Maser Consulting, P.A., dated January 18, 2005, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and made a part hereof, and as more particularly depicted on a Right-of-Way Dedication Plan, also prepared by Maser Consulting, P.A., dated January 14, 2005, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and made a part hereof.
2. That, following the introduction of this Ordinance, the Borough Clerk shall provide notice of its pending status as mandated by N.J.S.A. 40:49-6, and at least one week prior to the time fixed for further consideration and final passage of the Ordinance the Borough Clerk shall mail a copy of the Ordinance, together with a notice of the introduction thereof, and information as to the time and place of when and where the Ordinance will be further considered for final passage, to every person whose lands may be affected by this Ordinance, by certified mail return receipt requested and regular mail, to their last known post office address(es).
3. That, following the adoption of this Ordinance, the Borough Clerk shall file a copy of this Ordinance, along with the attached Exhibits (which include a Right-of-Way Dedication Plan showing the location, bounds and dimensions of the Right-of-Way area being dedicated to and accepted by the Borough), certified by the Clerk, under seal of the Borough, to be a true and exact copy of the same, together with a copy of the proof of publication thereof, in the Office of the Mercer County Clerk for recordation, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:67-2.
4. That the Borough is hereby authorized to accept a Deed of Roadway Dedication for Cranbury Station Road, concerning the areas referenced in Exhibit "A" and depicted on Exhibit "B," which Deed shall be in a form acceptable to the Borough Attorney. This Deed of Roadway Dedication shall be recorded with the Mercer County Clerk's Office.
5. That the Borough Attorney and Borough Engineer are hereby authorized and directed to undertake all actions and to prepare all documents that are necessary in order to effectuate the intentions of the within Ordinance.
6. That the Mayor is authorized to execute and the Municipal Clerk to attest any and all documents, and to perform all actions, which may be necessary in order to effectuate the intentions of the within Ordinance.
7. That this Ordinance shall take effect immediately upon final passage and publication in accordance with the law.
8. That the provisions of this Ordinance shall be severable. In the event that any portion of this Ordinance is found to be invalid for any reason by any Court of competent jurisdiction, such judgment shall be limited in its effect only to the portion of the Ordinance actually adjudged invalid and shall not be deemed to affect the operation of any other portion thereof, which shall remain in full force and effect.
9. That all other Ordinances or parts of Ordinances inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such inconsistencies.

PUBLIC HEARING AND FINAL READING: ORDINANCE 2006-06,
BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR WATER AND SEWER CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS IN AND
BY THE BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN, IN THE COUNTY OF MERCER, NEW JERSEY,
APPROPRIATING \$292,000 THEREFOR AND AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF \$277,400
BONDS OR NOTES OF THE BOROUGH TO FINANCE PART OF THE COST THEREOF

Following a brief review by Ms. Gallagher, Mayor Patten opened the public hearing on Ordinance 2006-06. No one came forward and the hearing was closed.

Ordinance 2006-06 was moved for adoption by Councilmember Quattrone and was seconded by Councilmember Thompson.

Roll call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Ordinance adopted, 5-0-1.

ORDINANCE 2006-06 BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR WATER AND SEWER CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS IN AND
BY THE BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN, IN THE COUNTY OF MERCER, NEW JERSEY,
APPROPRIATING \$292,000 THEREFOR AND AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF \$277,400
BONDS OR NOTES OF THE BOROUGH TO FINANCE PART OF THE COST THEREOF

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOROUGH COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN, IN THE COUNTY OF MERCER,
NEW JERSEY (not less than two-thirds of all members thereof affirmatively concurring) AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The improvement described in Section 3 of this bond ordinance is hereby authorized to be undertaken by the Borough of Hightstown, in the County of Mercer (the "Borough") New Jersey as a general improvement. For the improvement or purpose described in Section 3, there is hereby appropriated the sum of \$292,000, including the sum of \$14,600 as the down payment required by the Local Bond Law. The down payment is now available by virtue of provision for down payment or for capital improvement purposes in one or more previously adopted budgets.

Section 2. In order to finance the cost of the improvement or purpose not covered by application of the down payment, negotiable bonds are hereby authorized to be issued in the principal amount of \$277,400 pursuant to the Local Bond Law. In anticipation of the issuance of the bonds, negotiable bond anticipation notes are hereby authorized to be issued pursuant to and within the limitations prescribed by the Local Bond Law.

Section 3. (a) The improvement hereby authorized and the purpose for the financing of which the bonds are to be issued is water and sewer capital improvements, including the acquisition and installation of a septage acceptance unit and algae sweep system at the Advanced Wastewater Treatment Plant, the acquisition of a loader for use at the Advanced Wastewater Treatment Plant and repairs to water and sewer lines and hydrant replacements on Cole Avenue and Clinton Street, including all work and materials necessary therefor and incidental thereto.

- (b) The estimated maximum amount of bonds or notes to be issued for the improvement or purpose is as stated in Section 2 hereof.
- (c) The estimated cost of the improvement or purpose is equal to the amount of the appropriation herein made therefor.

Section 4. All bond anticipation notes issued hereunder shall mature at such times as may be determined by the chief financial officer; provided that no note shall mature later than one year from its date. The notes shall bear interest at such rate or rates and be in such form as may be determined by the chief financial officer. The chief financial officer shall determine all matters in connection with notes issued pursuant to this ordinance, and the chief financial officer's signature upon the notes shall be conclusive evidence as to all such determinations. All notes issued hereunder may be renewed from time to time subject to the provisions of the Local Bond Law. The chief financial officer is hereby authorized to sell part or all of the notes from time to time at public or private sale and to deliver them to the purchasers thereof upon receipt of payment of the purchase price plus accrued interest from their dates to the date of delivery thereof. The chief financial officer is directed to report in writing to the governing body at the meeting next succeeding the date when any sale or delivery of the notes pursuant to this ordinance is made. Such report must include the amount, the description, the interest rate and the maturity schedule of the notes sold, the price obtained and the name of the purchaser.

Section 5. The capital budget of the Borough is hereby amended to conform with the provisions of this ordinance to the extent of any inconsistency herewith. The resolution in the form promulgated by the Local Finance Board showing full detail of the amended capital budget and capital program as approved by the Director of the Division of Local Government Services is on file with the Clerk and is available there for public inspection.

Section 6. The following additional matters are hereby determined, declared, recited and stated:

- (a) The improvement or purpose described in Section 3 of this bond ordinance is not a current expense. It is an improvement or purpose that the Borough may lawfully undertake as a general improvement, and no part of the cost thereof has been or shall be specially assessed on property specially benefitted thereby.
- (b) The period of usefulness of the improvement or purpose within the limitations of the Local Bond Law, according to the reasonable life thereof computed from the date of the bonds authorized by this ordinance, is 40 years.
- (c) The Supplemental Debt Statement required by the Local Bond Law has been duly prepared and filed in the office of the Clerk, and a complete executed duplicate thereof has been filed in the office of the Director of the Division of Local Government Services in the Department of Community Affairs of the State of New Jersey. Such statement shows that the gross debt of the Borough as defined in the Local Bond Law is increased by the authorization of the bonds and notes provided in this bond ordinance by \$277,400, and the obligations authorized herein will be within all debt limitations prescribed by that Law.
- (d) An aggregate amount not exceeding \$19,000 for items of expense listed in and permitted under N.J.S.A. 40A:2-20 is included in the estimated cost indicated herein for the purpose or improvement.

Section 7. Any grant moneys received for the purpose described in Section 3 hereof shall be applied either to direct payment of the cost of the improvement or to payment of the obligations issued pursuant to this ordinance. The amount of obligations authorized but not issued hereunder shall be reduced to the extent that such funds are so used.

Section 8. The chief financial officer of the Borough is hereby authorized to prepare and to update from time to time as necessary a financial disclosure document to be distributed in connection with the sale of obligations of the Borough and to execute such disclosure document on behalf of the Borough. The chief financial officer is further authorized to enter into the appropriate undertaking to provide secondary market disclosure on behalf of the Borough pursuant to Rule 15c2-12 of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Rule") for the benefit of holders and beneficial owners of obligations of the Borough and to amend such undertaking from time to time in connection with any change in law, or interpretation thereof, provided such undertaking is and continues to be, in the opinion of a nationally recognized bond counsel, consistent with the requirements of the Rule. In the event that the Borough fails to comply with its undertaking, the Borough shall not be liable for any monetary damages, and the remedy shall be limited to specific performance of the undertaking.

Section 9. The full faith and credit of the Borough are hereby pledged to the punctual payment of the principal of and the interest on the obligations authorized by this bond ordinance. The obligations shall be direct, unlimited obligations of the Borough, and the Borough shall be obligated to levy *ad valorem* taxes upon all the taxable real property within the Borough for the payment of the obligations and the interest thereon without limitation of rate or amount.

Section 10. This bond ordinance shall take effect 20 days after the first publication thereof after final adoption, as provided by the Local Bond Law.

**PUBLIC HEARING AND FINAL READING: ORDINANCE 2006-07,
BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION OF COLE AVENUE AND CLINTON
STREET IN AND BY THE BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN, IN THE COUNTY OF MERCER, NEW
JERSEY, APPROPRIATING \$327,000 THEREFOR AND AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF
\$139,650 BONDS OR NOTES OF THE BOROUGH TO FINANCE PART OF THE COST THEREOF**

Following a brief review by Ms. Gallagher, Mayor Patten opened the public hearing on Ordinance 2006-07.

Eugene Sarafin, 600-628 South Main Street, noted his presence at the hearing.

No one else came forward and the hearing was closed.

Ordinance 2006-07 was moved for adoption by Councilmember Sikorski and seconded by Council President Schneider.

Councilmember Quattrone asked if engineering costs have been included in this Ordinance. Ms. Roberts and Ms. Gallagher confirmed that they were.

Roll Call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Ordinance adopted, 5-0.

**ORDINANCE 2006-07 BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION OF COLE AVENUE AND
CLINTON STREET IN AND BY THE BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN, IN THE COUNTY OF
MERCER, NEW JERSEY, APPROPRIATING \$327,000 THEREFOR AND AUTHORIZING THE
ISSUANCE OF \$139,650 BONDS OR NOTES OF THE BOROUGH TO FINANCE PART OF THE
COST THEREOF**

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOROUGH COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN, IN THE COUNTY OF MERCER,
NEW JERSEY (not less than two-thirds of all members thereof affirmatively concurring) AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The improvement described in Section 3 of this bond ordinance is hereby authorized to be undertaken by the Borough of Hightstown, in the County of Mercer, New Jersey (the "Borough") as a general improvement. For the improvement or purpose described in Section 3, there is hereby appropriated the sum of \$327,000, including a \$180,000 grant expected to be received from the New Jersey Department of Transportation (the "Grant"), and further including the sum of \$7,350 as the down payment required by the Local Bond Law. The down payment is now available by virtue of provision for down payment or for capital improvement purposes in one or more previously adopted budgets.

Section 2. In order to finance the cost of the improvement or purpose not covered by application of the down payment and in anticipation of the Grant, negotiable bonds are hereby authorized to be issued in the principal amount of \$139,650 pursuant to the Local Bond Law. In anticipation of the issuance of the bonds, negotiable bond anticipation notes are hereby authorized to be issued pursuant to and within the limitations prescribed by the Local Bond Law.

Section 3. (a) The improvement hereby authorized and the purpose for the financing of which the bonds are to be issued is for the reconstruction of Cole Avenue and Clinton Street, including road reconstruction and re-alignment, including new pavement, curbs and sidewalks and drainage improvements, and further including all work and materials necessary therefor and incidental thereto.

(b) The estimated maximum amount of bonds or notes to be issued for the improvement or purpose is as stated in Section 2 hereof.

- (c) The estimated cost of the improvement or purpose is equal to the amount of the appropriation herein made therefor.

Section 4. All bond anticipation notes issued hereunder shall mature at such times as may be determined by the chief financial officer; provided that no note shall mature later than one year from its date. The notes shall bear interest at such rate or rates and be in such form as may be determined by the chief financial officer. The chief financial officer shall determine all matters in connection with notes issued pursuant to this ordinance, and the chief financial officer's signature upon the notes shall be conclusive evidence as to all such determinations. All notes issued hereunder may be renewed from time to time subject to the provisions of the Local Bond Law. The chief financial officer is hereby authorized to sell part or all of the notes from time to time at public or private sale and to deliver them to the purchasers thereof upon receipt of payment of the purchase price plus accrued interest from their dates to the date of delivery thereof. The chief financial officer is directed to report in writing to the governing body at the meeting next succeeding the date when any sale or delivery of the notes pursuant to this ordinance is made. Such report must include the amount, the description, the interest rate and the maturity schedule of the notes sold, the price obtained and the name of the purchaser.

Section 5. The capital budget of the Borough is hereby amended to conform with the provisions of this ordinance to the extent of any inconsistency herewith. The resolution in the form promulgated by the Local Finance Board showing full detail of the amended capital budget and capital program as approved by the Director of the Division of Local Government Services is on file with the Clerk and is available there for public inspection.

Section 6. The following additional matters are hereby determined, declared, recited and stated:

- (a) The improvement or purpose described in Section 3 of this bond ordinance is not a current expense. It is an improvement or purpose that the Borough may lawfully undertake as a general improvement, and no part of the cost thereof has been or shall be specially assessed on property specially benefitted thereby.
- (b) The period of usefulness of the improvement or purpose within the limitations of the Local Bond Law, according to the reasonable life thereof computed from the date of the bonds authorized by this ordinance, is 10 years.
- (c) The Supplemental Debt Statement required by the Local Bond Law has been duly prepared and filed in the office of the Clerk, and a complete executed duplicate thereof has been filed in the office of the Director of the Division of Local Government Services in the Department of Community Affairs of the State of New Jersey. Such statement shows that the gross debt of the Borough as defined in the Local Bond Law is increased by the authorization of the bonds and notes provided in this bond ordinance by \$139,650, and the obligations authorized herein will be within all debt limitations prescribed by that Law.
- (d) An aggregate amount not exceeding \$67,000 for items of expense listed in and permitted under N.J.S.A. 40A:2-20 is included in the estimated cost indicated herein for the purpose or improvement.

Section 7. Any grant moneys received for the purpose described in Section 3 hereof shall be applied either to direct payment of the cost of the improvement or if other then the Grant referred to in Section 1 hereof, to payment of the obligations issued pursuant to this ordinance. The amount of obligations authorized but not issued hereunder shall be reduced to the extent that such funds are so used.

Section 8. The chief financial officer of the Borough is hereby authorized to prepare and to update from time to time as necessary a financial disclosure document to be distributed in connection with the sale of obligations of the Borough and to execute such disclosure document on behalf of the Borough. The chief financial officer is further authorized to enter into the appropriate undertaking to provide secondary market disclosure on behalf of the Borough pursuant to Rule 15c2-12 of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Rule") for the benefit of holders and beneficial owners of obligations of the Borough and to amend such undertaking from time to time in connection with any change in law, or interpretation thereof, provided such undertaking is and continues to be, in the opinion of a nationally recognized bond counsel, consistent with the requirements of the Rule. In the event that the Borough fails to comply with its undertaking, the Borough shall not be liable for any monetary damages, and the remedy shall be limited to specific performance of the undertaking.

Section 9. The full faith and credit of the Borough are hereby pledged to the punctual payment of the principal of and the interest on the obligations authorized by this bond ordinance. The obligations shall be direct, unlimited obligations of the Borough, and the Borough shall be obligated to levy *ad valorem* taxes upon all the taxable real property within the Borough for the payment of the obligations and the interest thereon without limitation of rate or amount.

Section 10. This bond ordinance shall take effect 20 days after the first publication thereof after final adoption, as provided by the Local Bond Law.

INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING: ORDINANCE 2006-08,
AMENDING CHAPTER 29, "SIGNS," OF THE *REVISED GENERAL ORDINANCES OF THE
BOROUGH OF HIGHTSTOWN*

Ms. Gallagher provided a brief review of Ordinance 2006-08, which would amend the Borough's sign ordinance in accordance with the Planning Board's recommendation to allow and regulate "sandwich board" signs within the CC-1 and CC-2 zoning districts.

Ordinance 2006-08 was moved for introduction by Councilmember Sikorski and seconded by Councilmember Thompson.

Roll Call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Sikorski, Schneider and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Ordinance introduced, 5-0-1.

The public hearing for Ordinance 2006-08 was scheduled for March 6, 2006.

CONSENT AGENDA

Resolutions 2006-64 and 2006-65 were moved by Councilmember Sikorski and seconded by Councilmember Quattrone.

Roll Call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Resolutions adopted, 5-0-1.

RESOLUTION 2006-64 AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF BILLS

WHEREAS, certain bills are due and payable as per itemized claims listed on the following schedules, which are made a part of the minutes of this meeting as a supplemental record;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Hightstown that the bills be paid on audit and approval of the Borough Administrator and the Treasurer in the amount of **\$8,809,626.27** from the following accounts:

Current	\$ 377,760.22
W/S Operating	244,585.68
General Capital	5,551,068.10
W/S Capital	2,631,907.47
Public Defender	75.00
Trust	880.00
RCA – COAH Escrow	2,700.00
Escrow-Subdivision & Site Plan (First Washington Bank)	649.80
Total	<u>\$ 8,809,626.27</u>

WHEREAS Torrington Watkins, 68 Meadow Drive (Block 63.01, Lot 21) applied and qualified for a veteran's tax deduction in 2003; and

WHEREAS due to a clerical error, the \$250.00 deduction was not automatically applied for 2004 and 2005; and

WHEREAS the Tax Collector has requested permission to refund to Mr. Watkins the amount of \$500.00, representing veteran's deductions for 2004 and 2005 to which he was entitled and which he did not receive;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Hightstown that the Treasurer is hereby authorized to issue a refund in the amount of \$500.00 to Torrington Watkins, 68 Meadow Drive (Block 63.01, Lot 21) representing amounts due to him for his veteran's deduction for 2004 and 2005, as detailed herein.

RESOLUTIONS

RESOLUTION 2006-66, AUTHORIZING INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR EMERGENCY "9-1-1" / POLICE DISPATCHING SERVICES

Following a brief review by Ms. Gallagher, **Resolution 2006-66** was moved by Council President Schneider and seconded by Councilmember Sikorski.

Roll Call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Resolution adopted 5-0-1.

RESOLUTION 2006-66 AUTHORIZING INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR EMERGENCY "9-1-1" / POLICE DISPATCHING SERVICES

WHEREAS, in previous years, the Borough of Hightstown has entered into an Interlocal Service Agreement with the Township of Cranbury for the provision of emergency "9-1-1" / police dispatching services; and

WHEREAS, the Borough of Hightstown desires to continue to provide these services to the Township of Cranbury during 2006, 2007 and 2008; and

WHEREAS, the Interlocal Services Act, N.J.S.A. 40:8A-1 et seq. authorizes the approval of Interlocal Service Agreements by Resolution; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council have reviewed the proposed Interlocal Service Agreement for Emergency "9-1-1" / Police Dispatching Services, which agreement is attached hereto and made a part hereof²;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Hightstown as follows:

1. The attached Interlocal Service Agreement with the Township of Cranbury for Emergency "9-1-1" / Police Dispatching Services for the period January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2008, is hereby approved, in accordance with the provisions of N.J.S.A. 40:8A-4.
2. The Mayor and Borough Clerk are hereby authorized and directed to execute the attached agreement for same.

² Agreement is on permanent file in the Borough Clerk's office with original copy of Resolution 2006-66.

**RESOLUTION 2006-67, AUTHORIZING MAYOR TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENT WITH
THE NEW JERSEY HISTORIC TRUST (STOCKTON STREET HISTORIC DISTRICT)**

Resolution 2006-67 was moved by Councilmember Sikorski and seconded by Councilmember Quattrone.

Roll Call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Resolution adopted, 5-0-1.

**RESOLUTION 2006-67 AUTHORIZING MAYOR TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENT WITH
THE NEW JERSEY HISTORIC TRUST (STOCKTON STREET HISTORIC DISTRICT)**

WHEREAS the governing body of Hightstown Borough desires to further historic preservation through a grant from the New Jersey Historic Trust, State of New Jersey, in the amount of \$42,298.00 for the Stockton Street Historic District;

NOW, THEREFORE, the governing body hereby authorizes Mayor Robert Patten to execute a grant agreement with the State in an amount up to that awarded for the proposed project, and to seal the grant agreement.

**RESOLUTION 2006-68, AUTHORIZING INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH EAST WINDSOR TOWNSHIP
FOR SENIOR SERVICES**

Resolution 2006-68 was moved by Councilmember Sikorski and seconded by Council President Schneider.

Roll Call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Resolution adopted, 5-0-1.

**RESOLUTION 2006-68 AUTHORIZING INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH EAST WINDSOR TOWNSHIP
FOR SENIOR SERVICES**

WHEREAS, with the adoption of Resolution 2005-69 on February 22, 2005, the Borough Council approved an Interlocal Service Agreement with the Township of East Windsor for the provision of Senior Services for the period March 1, 2005 through February 28, 2006; and

WHEREAS, the parties desire to enter into a successor agreement to continue the provision of Senior Services to the Borough by the Township of East Windsor through February 28, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Interlocal Services Act, N.J.S.A. 40:8A-1 et seq. authorizes the approval of Interlocal Service Agreements by Resolution; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council have reviewed the proposed Interlocal Service Agreement for Senior Services for the period March 1, 2006 through February 28, 2007, which agreement is attached hereto and made a part hereof³; and

WHEREAS, the Borough's net share of costs for these services, by the terms of this agreement, will be Thirty-one thousand sixty-two dollars and sixty-two cents (\$31,062.62) for this 12-month period; and

WHEREAS it is the intention of the Mayor and Council to provide adequate funding for this expenditure in the 2006 and 2007 budgets;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Hightstown as follows:

1. The attached Interlocal Service Agreement with the Township of East Windsor for Senior Services for the period March 1, 2006 through February 28, 2007 is hereby approved, in accordance with the provisions of N.J.S.A. 40:8A-4.
2. The Mayor and Borough Clerk are hereby authorized and directed to execute the attached agreement for same.
3. This agreement is approved subject to the provision of adequate funds in the Borough's 2006 and 2007 budgets.

RESOLUTION 2006-69, RESOLUTION OF SUPPORT FOR MINI-GRANT APPLICATION (HEALTHY HIGHTSTOWN PROGRAM)

Resolution 2006-69 was moved by Councilmember Sikorski and seconded by Councilmember Rosenberg.

Roll Call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Resolution adopted, 5-0-1.

RESOLUTION 2006-69 RESOLUTION OF SUPPORT FOR MINI-GRANT APPLICATION (HEALTHY HIGHTSTOWN PROGRAM)

WHEREAS the Board of Health has recommended that the Borough apply to the New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services for a mini-grant in the amount of \$2,500 to fund activities related to their planned Healthy Hightstown Program; and

WHEREAS the Healthy Hightstown Program is intended to enhance the wellness of Borough residents through a program to encourage improved nutrition, exercise and weight management; and

WHEREAS funding from the grant will be used to purchase 250 pedometers and to develop and print walking journals and walking maps to assist participants in reaching program goals; and

WHEREAS this grant does not require matching funds from the Borough;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Hightstown hereby express their support for the Healthy Hightstown Program and for the Borough's grant application as detailed herein.

NEW BUSINESS

BUDGET MEETINGS

It was discussed and agreed that budget meetings would be held as follows: Monday, February 27 at 6 p.m.; Tuesday, March 7 at 7 p.m.; Thursday, March 9 at 6 p.m.; and Tuesday, March 14 at 7 p.m..

PUBLIC COMMENT II

Mayor Patten opened the floor for public comment.

Eugene Sarafin, 600-628 South Main Street, stated that "the Sockler Report was brilliant" and said that it was "worth \$50,000." He said that the Borough should consider hiring him to tell us what *would* work there, and added that he expects that the sales price of the condos will be *less* than \$245,000. "The smartest thing you have done is to have that report so you can intelligently make decisions," he said.

³ Agreement is on permanent file in the Borough Clerk's office with original copy of Resolution 2006-68.

Dean Bottino, 124 Center Street, stated that it would be great to have the Sockler report in spreadsheet format, but, "as someone who does modeling, those who build a model do not like others messing with it." He suggested that we ask what the safe operating range of the model is, as models have "a certain range of validity."

Mr. Bottino also expressed concern about the failure of Mercer County to plow Stockton Street in a timely manner. 24 hours after the recent snowstorm, he said, there was still 4" of slippery snow on the street, which is "unacceptable." In the meantime, the Borough was able to clean all of its own side streets. He asked who in the Borough is responsible for making sure that the County lives up to its commitment to keep that roadway safe. He noted that "this is the same County that says 'no speed bumps and raised crosswalks downtown' because it gets in the way of commerce and traffic."

No one else came forward and the floor was closed.

EXECUTIVE SESSION II

Ms. Gallagher read aloud Resolution 2006-61, authorizing a closed session for the purpose of discussing contract negotiations and acquisition of property. The Resolution was moved by Councilmember Sikorski and seconded by Councilmember Thompson.

Roll Call: Councilmembers Quattrone, Rosenberg, Schneider, Sikorski and Thompson voted yes.
Councilmember Harinxma was absent.

Resolution adopted. 5-0-1.

RESOLUTION 2006-61 AUTHORIZING A MEETING WHICH EXCLUDES THE PUBLIC

BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Hightstown that this body will hold a meeting on February 21, 2006 at approximately 9:00 p.m. at Borough Hall that will be limited only to consideration of an item or items with respect to which the public may be excluded pursuant to section 7b of the Open Public Meetings Act.

The general nature of the subject or subjects to be discussed:

Contract Negotiations – Specialized Legal Services
Contract Negotiations – Professional Planning Services
Acquisition of Property

Stated as precisely as presently possible the following is the time when and the circumstances under which the discussion conducted at said meeting can be disclosed to the public: May 21, 2006, or when the need for confidentiality no longer exists.

The public is excluded from said meeting, and further notice is dispensed with, all in accordance with sections 8 and 4a of the Open Public Meetings Act.

Upon reconvening, adjournment was moved by Councilmember Thompson, seconded by Councilmember Sikorski and unanimously approved. The meeting was adjourned at 9:26 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Candace B. Gallagher, RMC
Borough Clerk